**Open Access** 



# Retinal fluid changes and therapeutic effects in symptomatic circumscribed choroidal hemangioma patients: a long-term follow up study

Junwon Lee<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Seungkyu Lee<sup>2</sup>, Min Kim<sup>2</sup> and Sung Chul Lee<sup>1\*</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Changes in retinal fluid patterns associated with circumscribed choroidal hemangioma (CCH) have not been investigated yet. A long-term follow-up study was performed to evaluate the changes of retinal fluid patterns and treatment responses.

**Methods:** We retrospectively reviewed medical records of all CCH patients diagnosed between November 2005 and March 2017. Enrolled patients had visual symptoms, were treatment-naïve, and had been followed-up for more than 2 years. Best corrected visual acuities (BCVA) and the presence, severity, and pattern change of the subretinal fluid (SRF) and intraretinal fluid (IRF) in the macula on optical coherence tomography (OCT) were analyzed at initial presentation and follow-up visits.

**Results:** Twenty-six patients were enrolled. All patients received one or more of the following treatments: PDT, TTT, and intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) injection (IVB). Primary therapy consisted of PDT in 9 patients (34.6%), TTT in 7 patients (26.9%) and IVB in 10 patients (38.5%). At initial presentation, the SRF-only pattern was mostly observed. Despite treatment, IRF occurred over time; eventually, advanced cystoid macular oedema (CME) developed. In terms of retinal fluid reduction, PDT was most efficacious (9/9, 100%), and TTT and IVB showed moderate efficacy (TTT: 4/7, 57.1%; IVB: 5/10, 50%) as a primary therapy. After advanced CME developed, IVB and TTT showed no or minimal effect (TTT: 0/1, 0%; IVB: 0/19, 0%), and PDT was the only effective therapy (6/10, 60%).

**Conclusion:** The pattern of retinal fluid accompanied by CCH evolved from an SRF-only pattern initially to an advanced CME pattern. The effectiveness of treatments decreased over time, and advanced CME generally showed resistance to treatments. PDT would be the most recommended treatment.

Keywords: Choroidal hemangioma, Photodynamic therapy, Cystoid macular oedema, Retinal fluid pattern

### Background

Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma (CCH) is a benign tumour, and asymptomatic CCH does not require treatment. Associated serous retinal detachment and cystoid macular edema (CME) are common findings in symptomatic CCH. Various treatment modalities including photodynamic therapy (PDT) [1–7], transpupillary

B٨

thermotherapy (TTT) [8–10], anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) injections [8], lens-sparing external beam radiotherapy [11, 12], plaque brachytherapy [13, 14], proton beam therapy [15], stereotactic radiosurgery [16], laser photocoagulation [11], and oral propranolol [17] have been applied for treating CCH related symptomatic fluids. Although various treatments have been explored, according to recent studies, PDT has emerged as the treatment of choice with high rates of tumour regression, fluid resorption and minimal complications [4–7, 18].

In a large study, serous retinal detachment and CME were reported in 81 and 17% of patients at initial



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: sunglee@yuhs.ac

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Eye and ENT Hospital, Severance Hospital, Institute of Vision Research, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, South Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

presentation, respectively [19]. However, there have been no longitudinal observational studies to investigate changes in retinal fluid patterns associated with CCH.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the changes in retinal fluid patterns, the response to various treatment modalities, and the prognosis of visual acuity in patients with CCH through a long-term follow-up.

#### Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of all patients diagnosed with CCH at Yonsei University Severance Hospital between November 2005 and March 2017. Patients who had visual symptoms, were treatment-naïve at initial presentation, and were followed-up for more than 2 years were enrolled. CCH was diagnosed based on fundus examination, indocyanine green angiography (ICGA), and ultrasonography. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Yonsei University Medical Center (Reference No. 4–2017-0955) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Baseline demographic data, including age, sex, general and ocular history, symptoms, follow-up duration, and series of treatments were recorded.

Initial assessment of patients included the minimal angle of resolution (logMAR) best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measurement using the Snellen visual acuity chart, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, dilated fundus examination, fundus photography, fluorescein angiography and ICGA, B-scan ultrasonography, and optical coherence tomography (OCT) (Stratus III OCT, Carl Zeiss, Dublin, California, USA; or Spectralis HRA + OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany).

Tumour size and location, and distance to the foveola and optic disc margin were measured using B-scan ultrasonography, fundus photography, and OCT.

BCVA, and the presence, severity, and pattern change of the retinal fluid on OCT were observed during every follow-up visit. Changes in retinal fluid following each treatment were evaluated qualitatively and quantitatively.

The pattern of retinal fluid in the macula was divided into three types; subretinal fluid (SRF) only; SRF / intraretinal fluid (IRF) combined; and advanced (well organized) CME pattern consisting of severe IRF.

#### **Treatment modalities**

"All patients received one or more of the following treatments: PDT, TTT, and intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) injection (IVB)."

PDT was performed with standard equipment under standard conditions. An 83-s laser spot at 689 nm (50 J/  $cm^2$ ) coupled with intravenous verteporfin was used to treat the entire CCH. Verteporfin 6 (typical) or 12 mg/  $m^2$  (enhanced) was injected. The area of treatment was determined by the size of the tumour measured using

ICGA. When the target area exceeded the maximum spot size, treatments were repeated several times without overlap.

TTT was performed under topical anesthesia via a dilated pupil. Patients were treated with an infrared diode laser at 810 nm using a slit-lamp biomicroscope delivery system. Each tumour was covered entirely with confluent laser spots, with the power ranging from 200 to 500 mW and spot size between 1200 and 3000  $\mu$ m to induce a slight color change with 1 min of exposure at each spot. An area of 1-disc diameter (DD) around the foveola and 1 DD around the disc margin were spared during TTT in all cases.

Using an aseptic technique, bevacizumab (Avastin) 1.25 mg was injected 3.0 or 3.5 mm posterior to the limbus through the pars plana using a 30-gauge needle in the operating room.

#### Comprehensive evaluation of therapeutic response

Indications for treatment included serous macular detachment (SMD) and CME causing visual symptoms. When the fluid was fully resolved, no further treatment was performed. When the treatment effect was insufficient or the fluid recurred, treatment was performed again. When the treatment appeared to be ineffective or an additional session using the same treatment modality had the potential to induce retinal damage, a different therapy was trialled. OCT was performed within 8 weeks after each treatment. The treatment effect was evaluated after 4 weeks in cases where IVB was administered. In cases where patients received PDT or TTT treatment, monitoring continued for at least 8 weeks.

During long-term follow-up, the types, order, and number of treatments administered varied among patients. For analysis, the series of treatments were simplified. The efficacy of each treatment was assessed by whether it increased or decreased the amount of SRF and/or IRF on OCT. Efficacy was classified into three groups and was numerically parameterized.

A reduction of less than 20%, or no change, in the fluid following treatment was classified as 'minimal or none' and parameterized as 0 point. For cases with a 20 to 80% reduction following treatment, these were classified as 'partial' responses and parameterized as 0.5 point. For cases with an 80 to 100% reduction, these were classified as 'almost or complete' responses and parameterized as 1 point. The mean score for several sessions of a single treatment modality was obtained when the same treatment modality was applied continuously in a series.

For example, if IVBs were performed 5 times consecutively and the effects were #1: almost or complete; #2: partial; #3: minimal or none; #4: minimal or none; and #5: partial, the overall mean efficacy of IVB was 0.4 (1.0 + 0.5 + 0 + 0 + 0.5 / 5 = 2.0 / 5).

#### Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Averages are reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). When comparing the paired mean at different points within an individual, a paired t-test was performed. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

All enrolled patients had symptomatic CCH and were treatment-naïve. All patients received PDT and/or TTT and/or IVB for serous macular detachment and CME. Patient demographics and clinical data are shown in Table 1.

Twenty-six patients were enrolled. The mean  $\pm$  SD (minimum to maximum) follow-up duration was 63.68  $\pm$  30.10 (range, 27.77 to 128.52) months. The median and interquartile ranges of follow-up duration were 58.33 months and 35.73 to 82.02 months, respectively. Seventeen patients were followed-up for 48 months and 9 patients were followed up for 24 to 48 months.

The mean age was  $49.26 \pm 10.07$  years. There were 16 males and 10 females. The mean largest base diameter (LBD) and height of the tumour were  $8.70 \pm 1.73$  (range, 4.56-11.86) and  $3.31 \pm 1.02$  (range, 1.52-5.21) mm, respectively. Twelve tumours involved the subfoveal area and 14 tumours were located in the extrafoveal area.

#### Pattern change of retinal fluid related with CCH

The pattern changes in retinal fluid associated with CCH are presented in Fig. 1. The pattern of retinal fluid at initial presentation was SRF-only in 19 patients (73.1%), SRF and IRF combined in 4 patients (15.4%), and advanced CME in 3 patients (11.5%).

Over time, the pattern of retinal fluid evolved. Among the patients with more than 48 months' follow-up, the retinal fluid pattern progressed to an advanced CME pattern in 9 of 17 patients (52.9%), changed to SRF and IRF combined pattern in 6 patients (35.3%), and remained as SRF-only in only 2 patients (11.8%). IRF occurred in 2 out of 9 (22.2%) patients with 24 to 48 months' follow-up.

Overall, the SRF-only pattern was observed mostly at initial presentation, whereas IRF occurred over time. The advanced CME pattern was observed mostly with patients who had long-term follow-up.

There was no association between the use of certain treatments and the development of advanced CME.

## Therapeutic effect on SRF or IRF according to treatment modalities

We examined the therapeutic response of retinal fluid according to each treatment modality performed as a primary therapy or secondary therapy.

Primary therapy was PDT in 9 patients (typical 7; enhanced 2), TTT in 7 patients and IVB in 10 patients. For

PDT cases, 'almost or complete' response (mean score 1.0) was observed in 8 of 9 patients (typical 6; enhanced 2) (88.9%) and a 'partial' response (mean score 0.5) was observed in 1 patient (11.1%). For TTT cases, 3 patients (42.9%) showed 'almost or complete' resolution (mean score 1.0), 1 (14.3%) showed 'partial' resolution (mean score 0.5) and 3 (42.9%) showed 'minimal or no' effect (mean score 0.0). In IVB cases, 2 patients (20%) showed 'partial to almost' resolution (mean score 0.75), 3 patients showed 'partial' response (mean score 0.5) (30%) and 5 patients (50%) showed 'minimal or no' effect (mean score 0.0). PDT had a good therapeutic effect, and TTT and IVB showed modest therapeutic effects on retinal fluid with CCH.

Secondary therapy was performed as follows: PDT in 10 patients (typical 5; enhanced 5), TTT in 1 patient and IVB in 7 patients. TTT and IVB showed 'minimal or no' effect (mean score 0.0) in all patients. PDT showed 'almost or complete' resolution (mean score 1.0) in 5 patients (typical 2; enhanced 3) (50%), 'partial to almost' resolution (mean score 0.75) in 2 patients (typical 2) (20%) and 'partial' response (mean score 0.5) in 3 patients (typical 1; enhanced 2) (30%). Typical PDT and enhanced PDT showed similar effects. For all 3 treatment modalities, there was a lower therapeutic effect when they were applied as a secondary therapy compared to when they were used as a primary therapy.

Nine patients showed advanced CME during the follow-up period. IVBs were performed 19 times in 6 patients and showed 'minimal or no' effect (mean score 0.0) in all cases. TTT was performed once in 1 patient and showed 'minimal or no' effect (mean score 0.0). PDTs were performed 10 times (typical 6 times; enhanced 4 times) in 5 patients. Four out of 10 (40%) sessions showed 'almost or complete' response (mean score 1.0) and 2 out of 10 (20%) showed a 'partial' response (mean score 0.5), and 4 out of 10 (40%) showed 'no or minimal' effect (mean score 0.0). Enhanced PDT was not superior to typical PDT. IVB and TTT had no effect on advanced CME.

## Therapeutic effect on BCVA according to treatment modalities

Results from final BCVA compared with initial BCVA according to primary and secondary therapeutic modalities are presented in Table 2. Final BCVA improved in 9 out of 26 patients (34.6%), remained stable in 4 patients (15.4%), and deteriorated in 13 patients (50%) compared to initial BCVA. The mean final BCVA was lower than the initial BCVA with marginal significance [logMAR (Initial vs. Final):  $0.79 \pm 0.54$  vs.  $1.10 \pm 0.91$  (p = 0.064)].

We further examined BCVA changes according to each treatment modality. Among 17 patients followed up over 48 months, as primary and secondary therapies, 8 patients received PDT at least once and 8 patients

~	
.9	
2	
2	
0	
6	
ą	
.5	
US.	
F	
õ	
·E	
_	
÷	
>	
ft	
ē	
ati	
ã	
Ð	
Ś	
<u>ě</u> .	
÷Ę	
Ŭ	
na	
isi	
>	
0	
ť	
ĕ	
ЦС	
Ŭ	
St	
ЭC	
-	
ŭ	
a S	
tts	
Ē	
E	
'nt	
e.	
ft	
0	
· ·	
es	
eries (	
series (	
id, series (	
luid, series (	
l fluid, series (	
nal fluid, series	
stinal fluid, series o	
retinal fluid, series o	
in retinal fluid, series o	
s in retinal fluid, series o	
ges in retinal fluid, series o	
inges in retinal fluid, series o	
hanges in retinal fluid, series o	
changes in retinal fluid, series o	
cs, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
tics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
ristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
teristics, changes in retinal fluid, series	
acteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series (	
aracteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series	
characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
or characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
nor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
umor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series	
tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series	
cs, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
hics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series (	
aphics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
jraphics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
ographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series (	
mographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
t demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
ent demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
tient demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	
Patient demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	Come
1 Patient demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	gioma
e 1 Patient demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	angioma
<b>ble 1</b> Patient demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	nangioma
able 1 Patient demographics, tumor characteristics, changes in retinal fluid, series o	emangioma

Wetacy.nex     Tomony integrate     Tomony integrate	Case No.	Age	FU	Foveal	Initial	Initial	Initial retinal	IRF	Organized IRF pattern (Y/N)	Series of	Initial BCVA	Highest BCVA	BCVA change,
1     45M     129     0     3.7     5ff only     Y     V     15.40 PI     0.5       2     66f     123     0     101     46     5ff only     Y     N     17.42 T     00       3     57M     114     0     94     35     5ff only     Y     N     17.42 T     00       4     57M     110     5     93     49     5ff only     Y     N     17.42 T     00       7     48f     90     13     43     5ff only     Y     Y/7     17.42 T     00       7     48f     7     7     7     Y     Y     Y     Y     247     00       7     48f     7     7     7     7     7     7     7     7     247     01     02       7     47     7     7     7     7     7     7     7     247     0     05       11     50f     93	-	years)/ sex	(months)	distance (mm)	(mm)	neight (mm)	fluid pattern	occurrence (Y/N)	/ IIme point after initial presentation (mo)	treatments (type-No.)	(Shellen)	during FU (Snellen)	Last BCVA (Snellen)
2     66f     123     0     101     46     SFF only     Y     N     T1+A2T     005       3     57/M     114     0     94     35     SFF only     Y     N     T1+A2T     005       4     52/F     110     5     93     49     SFF+IFF     Y     N     T1+A2T     005       6     44/F     90     15     827     323     SFF+IFF     Y     N/72     T2-A3F115     015       6     44/F     70     017     1107     477     SFF     Y     Y/71     72-A3F115     015       7     48/F     7     Y/71     Y/71     A2-P24115     015       110     517     60     7     74     71     02     02       111     50/F     60     7     7     Advanced CME     Y     Y/71     72-A3F116     05       111     50/F     60     7     7     Advanced CME     Y     Y/71     72-A37	-	45/M	129	0	6	3.7	SRF only	~	Y / 86	T3-A10-P1	0.5	0.8	Worsen, 0.01
3     57M     114     0     94     35     8F+MF     Y     N     T3-A2     02       4     52/F     110     5     93     49     5F+MF     Y     N     T3-A3     025       6     44/F     90     15     82     32     5F+MF     Y     Y/72     T3-A3-M[5]     005       7     46/F     79     073     110/     477     5F     Y     Y/73     T3-A3-M[7]     005       7     46/F     79     073     373     5F     Y     Y/71     A2-P1     0.5       7     57     60     3     0.7     57     74     71     24-P1     0.5       16     57     3.3     5F     Y     Y     Y     27     24-P1     0.5       17     57/F     60     7     Y     Y     24-P1     0.5       16     57/F     60     7     Y     Y     Y     24-P1     24 <td>2</td> <td>56/F</td> <td>123</td> <td>0</td> <td>10.1</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>SRF only</td> <td>≻</td> <td>Z</td> <td>T1-A2-T</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>Worsen, HM</td>	2	56/F	123	0	10.1	4.6	SRF only	≻	Z	T1-A2-T	0.05	0.1	Worsen, HM
4     52f     110     5     93     49     56+HF     Y     N     T1     003       6     44f     90     15     827     323     58+HF     Y     Y/72     T2A3P(E)     06       7     48f     79     0.75     11.07     4.7     586 only     Y     Y/73     A2P2P(E)     06       7     48f     79     0.75     11.07     4.7     586 only     Y     Y/73     A2P2P(E)     06       8     47f     71     0.7     0.7     11.07     4.7     586 only     Y     Y/11     A2P1-P1-P1(B)     053       9     39M     70     0     0     5     3.73     586 only     Y     Y/11     A2P1-P1(B)     0.53       16     507     20     846 only     Y     Y     Y/11     A2P2-A1(F)     0.53       17     506     33     587 enly     Y     Y     Y/11     A2P2-A1(F)     0.53       16     507<	ς.	57/M	114	0	9.4	3.5	SRF only	≻	Z	T3-A2	0.2	0.2	Worsen, CF
5     63M     96     15     827     374     81F     7     7/72     73-37+1(2)     01       7     44F     90     4     917     374     547     77     743*1(2)     63       7     48F     79     0.75     1107     4.77     547     74     71       8     47F     71     0     9.5     357     587 only     7     7/171     72471(2)     63       9     39M     70     0     9.5     357 only     7     7/11     723     74471.42     01     05       11     50F     0     10     105     225     846 only     7     7/11     72471.42     01       11     50F     20     103     367     7     74471.42     01     05       12     640     3     366     567     74476     7     72.4471.42     01       13     50F     10     36     567     640 onced     7	4	52/F	110	5	9.3	4.9	SRF + IRF	≻	Z	T1	0.025	0.025	Worsen, 0.01
6     44F     90     4     917     374     6ff only     7     7/13     62724(f)     633       7     46F     79     0.75     1107     477     547     71     471     71     63       8     47F     71     0     95     373     547 only     7     7/17     427     63       9     39M     70     0     95     373     547 only     7     7/11     427     63       10     51/F     60     10     106     33     547 HF     7     7/11     427     63       11     50/F     60     33     567 HF     7     7/11     42743-H2     0       11     50/F     33     567 HF     7     7/11     7     42743-H2     0       11     50/F     33     567 HF     7     7/11     1     427243-H1     0       12     41     7     7     7/11     7     7/11     1	5	53/M	96	1.5	8.27	3.22	SRF + IRF	≻	Y / 72	T2-A3-P1(E)	0.16	0.4	Worsen, 0.025
7     46f     79     0.75     11.07     67 mole     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     77     71     07     07     07     05     373     58 mole     7     7     77     72.44714.2     03       10     51/F     65     22     84     32     58 mole     7     7/13     72.44714.2     0.1       11     50/F     60     3     32     58 mole     7     7/13     72.44714.2     0.1     0.5       11     50/F     59     13     58 mole     7     7     7     7     1     7     7     1     1     7     1     1     7     1     <	, 6	44/F	06	4	9.17	3.74	SRF only	≻	γ / 39	A2-P2-P1(E)	0.63	1.0	Improved, 0.8
8     4/f     71     0     95     37.3     54F only     N     N     A2P1     02       9     39/M     70     0     10     105     296     54F only     Y     Y/11     A2P1A3P(I)     06       10     51/F     65     22     84     32     54F HF     Y     Y/11     A2P1A3P(I)     05       11     50/F     60     3     10.43     383     54F HF     Y     Y/11     A2P1A3P(I)     05       12     60/M     59     13     759     227     64/model     Y     Y/11     A2P1A3P(I)     05       13     50/F     59     13     33     54F HF     Y     Y/10     A2P1A3P(I)     05       14     50/F     59     14     7     Y     Y/10     72     72     01       15     51/F     52     10     10     52     10     10     10     10       16     35/F     10	7	48/F	79	0.75	11.07	4.77	SRF only	≻	У / 71	A2-T1-P1-P1(E)	0.63	0.8	Worsen, 0.04
9     39/M     70     0     106     296     SFF only     Y     Y     A2-P2A3-FI(E)     063       10     51/F     65     22     84     32     SFF + IFF     Y     Y     Y     72-P2A3-FI(E)     00       11     50/F     60     3     1043     383     SFF + IFF     Y     Y     Y     72-P2A3-FI(E)     00       12     50/F     60     3     1043     383     SFF + IFF     Y     Y     72-P2A3-FI(E)     00       12     60/M     59     13     739     227     Advanced CME     Y     Y     72-P2A3-FI(E)     05       13     52/F     59     13     739     SF     Y     Y     72-P2A3     01       14     55/M     57     Advanced CME     Y     Y     Y     72-P2A3     01       15     52/H     10     57     40     N     N     N     N     17     02     02	7	47/F	71	0	9.5	3.73	SRF only	Z	Z	A2-P1	0.2	0.63	Improved, 0.63
10     51/F     65     22     84     3.2     58+18F     Y     Y     T2-A4-T1-A2     01       11     50/F     60     3     10.43     333     58+18F     Y     N     72-A4-T1-A2     01       12     60/M     59     13     333     58+18F     Y     N     P2     01       13     52/F     69     13     333     587-ny     Y     N     P2     01       14     55/H     59     16     52.4     195     587-ny     Y     N     P2     0       14     55/M     57     1     648     201     87-Ny     Y     N     P2     0     05       15     35/F     57     1     648-ny     Y     N <td< td=""><td>6</td><td>39/M</td><td>70</td><td>0</td><td>10.6</td><td>2.96</td><td>SRF only</td><td>≻</td><td>Y / 11</td><td>A2-P2-A3-P1 (E)</td><td>0.63</td><td>0.8</td><td>Worsen, 0.16</td></td<>	6	39/M	70	0	10.6	2.96	SRF only	≻	Y / 11	A2-P2-A3-P1 (E)	0.63	0.8	Worsen, 0.16
11     50/F     60     3     1043     383     Sft-lift     Y     N     P2     016       12     60/M     59     13     799     27     Advanced CMC     Y     Y/0 (intial)     A2-P2-A1     063       13     52/F     59     13     799     27     Advanced CMC     Y     Y/0 (intial)     A2-P2-A1     063       14     55/H     1     634     195     Sff only     Y     N     N     N     N       15     57/H     57/I     634     201     Sff only     Y     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N       16     35/F     521     Advanced CMC     Y     Y     Y     N	10	51/F	65	2.2	8.4	3.2	SRF + IRF	≻	Y / 23	T2-A4-T1-A2	0.01	0.01	Worsen, CF
12     60/M     59     13     799     227     Advanced CME     Y     V     A2-P2-A1     663       13     52/F     59     16     524     195     SR only     Y     N     P1(6)     663       14     55/K     57     1     684     201     SR only     Y     N     P1(6)     063       15     35/F     57     0     105     521     Advanced CME     Y     V     0     073     03       16     35/F     57     0     105     521     Advanced CME     Y     V     0     04     023       17     54/M     54     29     105     876     N     N     N     172     005       18     57/M     36     075     524     Advanced CME     Y     Y     0     172     05       18     57/M     36     29     58     SR only     N     N     172     05     05  1	11	50/F	60	ŝ	10.43	3.83	SRF + IRF	≻	Z	P2	0.16	0.8	Improved, 0.8
13     52/F     59     16     52.4     1.95     RF only     Y     N     P1(E)     633       14     55/M     57     1     6.84     201     587 only     Y     N     757 (E)     0.2       15     35/F     57     1     6.84     201     587 only     Y     N     757 (E)     0.2       16     35/F     55     2.9     10.53     4.73     587 only     N     Y/0 (initial)     A1     0.02       17     54/M     54     0     11.86     3.47     Advanced CME     Y     Y/0 (initial)     A1     11     0.02       18     57/M     36     0     11.86     3.47     Advanced CME     Y     Y/0 (initial)     A1     11     0.02       19     23/M     36     240     N     Advanced CME     Y     Y/0 (initial)     A1     11     11     11     11     11     11     11     11     11     11     11     11 </td <td>12 (</td> <td>50/M</td> <td>59</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>7.99</td> <td>2.27</td> <td>Advanced CME</td> <td>≻</td> <td>Y / 0 (initial)</td> <td>A2-P2-A1</td> <td>0.63</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>Worsen, 0.4</td>	12 (	50/M	59	1.3	7.99	2.27	Advanced CME	≻	Y / 0 (initial)	A2-P2-A1	0.63	0.8	Worsen, 0.4
14     55/M     57     1     684     201     SF only     Y     N     A5P1(E)     02       15     35/F     57     0     10.5     5.21     Advanced CME     Y     V 10 (initial)     A1     0025       16     35/F     55     29     10.5     5.21     Advanced CME     Y     V 10 (initial)     A1     0025       17     54/M     56     10.53     4.73     SRF only     N     N     T2     005       18     57/M     36     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y 10 (initial)     T2     005       19     23/M     36     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y 10 (initial)     T2     0.05       10     23/M     36     0.75     2.06     SRF only     N     N     N     N     N       11     36     3.7     SRF only     N     N     N     N     N     N     N     N	13	52/F	59	1.6	5.24	1.95	SRF only	≻	Z	P1(E)	0.63	1.0	stable
15     35/F     57     0     10.5     5.21     Advanced CME     Y     Y     A1     0.025       16     35/F     55     2.9     10.53     4.73     58F only     N     7     0.0     0.05       17     54/M     54     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y     0.1     0.05       18     57/M     36     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y     0.12     0.05       18     57/M     36     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y     0.12     0.05       19     23/M     36     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y     0.17     0.17     0.25       10     23/M     36     0.75     5.20     5.8F only     N     N     N     P1-A2     0.25       21     4/M     36     0.75     5.26     5.8F only     N     N     N     P1-A1(E)	14	55/M	57	-	6.84	2.01	SRF only	≻	Z	A5-P1(E)	0.2	0.63	Improved, 0.32
16     35/F     55     29     10.3     4.73     Sr only     N     T2     0.05       17     54/M     54     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     Y/O (initial)     A1-P1-P1(E)-A3     0.05       18     57/M     36     0     8.91     2.24     Sr only     N     N     A1-P1-P1(E)-A3     0.4       19     23/M     36     0.75     6.75     2.00     Sr only     N     N     P1-P1(E)-A3     0.2       20     40/M     36     0.75     6.75     2.00     Sr only     N     N     P1-P1(E)-A3     0.2       21     47/M     36     3.57     8.73     3.37     Sr only     N     N     P1-P1(E)     0.2       21     47/M     34     0     736     2.91     Sr only     N     N     N     P1-P1(E)     0.2       22     62/M     33     2.91     Sr only     N     N     N     N     N	15	35/F	57	0	10.5	5.21	Advanced CME	≻	Y / 0 (initial)	A1	0.025	0.08	stable
17     54/M     54     0     11.86     3.64     Advanced CME     Y     V     O (initial)     A1-P1-P1(E)-A3     0.4       18     57/M     36     0     891     2.24     SRF only     N     N     0     0     0.1       19     23/M     36     0.75     6.75     2.00     SRF only     N     N     0     1     0.2       20     40/M     36     3.5     8.73     3.37     SRF only     N     N     0     1     1.4.2     0.32       21     4/M     34     0     7.36     2.91     SRF only     N     N     0     1     1.4.1(E)     0.35       21     4/M     31     0     7.36     1.52     SRF only     N     N     0     1     1     1     1     1     1     0.15     1     1     1     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     <	16	35/F	55	2.9	10.53	4.73	SRF only	Z	Z	T2	0.05	0.2	Worsen, 0.013
18     57/M     36     0     891     2.24     SR only     N     N     P1     0.2       19     23/M     36     0.75     6.75     2.00     SR only     N     N     P1-A2     0.32       20     40/M     36     3.5     8.73     3.37     SR only     Y     N     P1-P1(E)     0.32       21     47/M     34     0     7.36     2.91     SR only     Y     N     P1-P1(E)     0.05       22     62/M     33     0     7.36     2.91     SR only     N     N     P1-P1(E)     0.05       23     40/M     31     0     7.36     1.52     SR only     N     N     P1     P1(E)     0.03       24     0/M     31     0     7.81     2.36     SR only     N     N     P1     P1(E)     0.03       24     0/M     31     0     7.29     2.64     SR only     N     N     P1     P1 </td <td>17</td> <td>54/M</td> <td>54</td> <td>0</td> <td>11.86</td> <td>3.64</td> <td>Advanced CME</td> <td>≻</td> <td>Y / 0 (initial)</td> <td>A1-P1-P1(E)-A3</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>Worsen, 0.063</td>	17	54/M	54	0	11.86	3.64	Advanced CME	≻	Y / 0 (initial)	A1-P1-P1(E)-A3	0.4	0.5	Worsen, 0.063
19     23/M     36     0.75     6.75     2.00     SRF only     N     N     P1-A2     0.32       20     40/M     36     3.5     8.73     3.37     SRF only     Y     N     P1-P1(E)     0.05       21     47/M     34     0     7.36     2.91     SRF only     N     N     P1-P1(E)     0.05       22     62/M     33     0     7.36     2.91     SRF only     N     N     A1-P1(E)     0.013       23     62/M     33     0     456     1.52     SRF only     N     N     P1     0.013       23     40/M     31     0     7.81     2.36     SRF only     N     N     P1     0.24     0.25       24     63/M     33     3.2     7.29     2.64     SRF only     Y     N     P1     P1     0.25	18	57/M	36	0	8.91	2.24	SRF only	Z	Z	P1	0.2	0.32	Improved, 0.32
20     40/M     36     3.5     8.73     3.37     SrF only     Y     N     P1-P1(E)     0.05       21     47/M     34     0     7.36     2.91     SrF only     N     N     A1-P1(E)     0.05       22     62/M     33     0     4.56     1.52     SrF only     N     N     0     21     0.13       23     40/M     31     0     7.81     2.36     SrF only     N     N     0.1     0.2       24     63/M     33     3.2     7.29     2.64     SrF only     N     N     0.32     0.32	19	23/M	36	0.75	6.75	2.00	SRF only	Z	Z	P1-A2	0.32	0.63	Worsen, 0.04
21     47/M     34     0     7.36     2.91     SRF only     N     N     A1-P1(E)     0.013       22     62/M     33     0     4.56     1.52     SRF only     N     N     P1     0.2       23     40/M     31     0     7.81     2.36     SRF only     N     N     P1     0.2       24     63/M     33     3.2     7.29     2.64     SRF only     Y     N     A2-P2(E)     0.35	20	40/M	36	3.5	8.73	3.37	SRF only	≻	Z	P1- P1(E)	0.05	0.8	Improved, 0.32
22     62/M     33     0     4.56     1.52     SRF only     N     N     P1     0.2       23     40/M     31     0     7.81     2.36     SRF only     N     N     P1-A2-P1     0.32       24     63/M     33     3.2     7.29     2.64     SRF only     Y     N     A2-P2(E)     0.25	21 4	47/M	34	0	7.36	2.91	SRF only	Z	Z	A1-P1(E)	0.013	0.32	Improved, 0.1
23     40/M     31     0     781     2.36     SRF only     N     N     P1-A2-P1     0.32       24     63/M     33     3.2     7.29     2.64     SRF only     Y     N     A2-P2(E)     0.25	22 (	52/M	33	0	4.56	1.52	SRF only	Z	Z	P1	0.2	0.32	Stable
24 63/M 33 3.2 7.29 2.64 SRF only Y N A2-P2(E) 0.25	23	40/M	31	0	7.81	2.36	SRF only	Z	Z	P1-A2-P1	0.32	0.5	stable
	24 ((	53/M	33	3.2	7.29	2.64	SRF only	≻	Z	A2-P2(E)	0.25	0.8	Improved, 0.5
25 49/M 42 0 8.14 2.98 SRF only N N N P1(E) 0.2	25	49/M	42	0	8.14	2.98	SRF only	Z	Z	P1(E)	0.2	0.2	Worsen, 0.16
26 46/M 28 1.2 8.52 3.97 SRF only N N N P1-P1(E) 0.4	26	46/M	28	1.2	8.52	3.97	SRF only	Z	Z	P1-P1(E)	0.4	0.8	Improved, 0.5



received TTT at least once. There was no significant difference in age, tumour position, and tumour size between the PDT group and the TTT group. Comparing final BCVA with initial BCVA, in PDT cases, 4 out of 8 patients (50%) showed an improvement in BCVA, 1 patient (12.5%) remained stable and 3 patients (37.5%) deteriorated. Whereas, all patients that received TTT showed deterioration in their BCVA (8/8 = 100%).

All 9 patients who were followed up for 24 to 48 months received PDT plus IVB (or IVB plus PDT). Comparing final BCVA with initial BCVA, 5 out of 9 patients (55.6%) showed improvement in their BCVA, 2 patients (22.2%) remained stable, and 2 patients' (22.2%) BCVA deteriorated. The mean final BCVA was improved when compared to the initial BCVA, however, this was not statistically significant (p = 0.473).

#### Cases

We present two representative cases demonstrating the pattern of change in retinal fluid associated with CCH. In both cases, SRF-only patterns were noted at initial presentation and over time, SRF transitioned to IRF, eventually progressing to advanced CME, despite various treatment efforts. In one case (Fig. 2; Case 7), the retinal fluid responded well to PDT initially, however, the fluid eventually returned and subsequently progressed to the advanced CME pattern. In the other case (Fig. 3; Case 6), the retinal fluid was completely resolved following multiple treatments with PDT, and there was no recurrence during the more than 3 years of follow-up.

#### Discussion

We investigated the changes in retinal fluid patterns and the therapeutic response to various treatment modalities

Table 2 Final best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) compared with initial BCVA according to primary and secondary therapeutic modalities

	BCVA change			
Treatment modality	Improved	Stable	Worsen	Total number
P + A (A + P)	5 (3 + 2) (45.45%)	1 (0 + 1) (9.09%)	5 (3 + 2) (45.45%)	11
Ρ	4 (1 + 3) (66.67%)	2 (1 + 1) (33.33%)		6
A		1 (1 + 0) (100%)		1
T + A (A + T)			6 (6+0) (100%)	6
Т			2 (2 + 0) (100%)	2

Total number of patients (number of patients followed up over 48 months + number of patients followed up between 24 to 48 months) BCVA best corrected visual acuity, T transpupillary thermotherapy, P photodynamic therapy, A intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) injection



in patients with symptomatic CCH who underwent long-term follow-up. There have been no studies that focus on changes in retinal fluid patterns associated with CCH.

The SRF-pattern was mainly observed in the early stage, followed by SRF and IRF combined, and eventually, an advanced CME pattern was established over time. During the follow-up period, advanced CME occurred regardless of the treatment modality. Despite treatment efforts, the occurrence of CME could not be prevented. Patients with advanced CME at initial presentation may be assumed to have had long-lasting retinal fluid along with CCH. The pathophysiology of CME in CCH is poorly understood. CME often occurs due to breakdown of the inner blood-retina barrier and is not an uncommon manifestation of diabetic retinopathy, retinal vein occlusion, and inflammatory diseases of the posterior segment. Less commonly, CME is the result of incompetence of the outer blood-retina barrier [20]. In long-standing central serous chorioretinopathy, some eyes will develop CME [21, 22]. In the case of CME following a long lasting SRF, it may be related to alteration of the external limiting membrane (ELM), which is the linear aggregate of junctions between the outer portions of Müller cells and the inner segments of the photoreceptors. The ELM



PDT was performed, and the fluid was again completely resolved. (d) After more than 3 years of follow-up, the fluid did not recur

may serve as a barrier for fluid leaving the retina to be pumped from the subretinal space by the retinal pigment epithelium. When the ELM is intact, fluid from below the retina can cause serous detachment of the retina, and when the ELM is defective, there may be passage of fluid into the outer retina [23].

Among the three treatment modalities reported in this study, PDT showed the greatest treatment efficacy, and TTT and IVB showed moderate efficacy for retinal fluid reduction as a primary therapy. As secondary therapies, the efficacy of all 3 treatment modalities was reduced. As the retinal fluid with the tumour became older or recurred, it appears to have become refractory to various treatments. Early and appropriate treatment of CCH is important.

Several years ago, our group reported the efficacy of IVB in the treatment of 12 symptomatic CCH patients [8]. IVB led to the resolution of serous macular detachment and improvement of visual acuity in 5 of 9 patients. However, its duration of treatment effectiveness seemed to be relatively short. Similar results were observed in this study. Although IVB may have the advantages of greater feasibility and lower cost than PDT or TTT, IVB monotherapy seems to be insufficiently effective to manage CCH completely. Repeated IVB therapy should not delay PDT therapy.

Our study findings may have clinical significance because different therapeutic responses were observed depending on the retinal fluid pattern. CME has been considered a poor prognostic factor in CCH [2, 24]. A previous study by our group showed that CME does not respond effectively to IVB [8]. In this study, after formation of advanced CME, IVB was not effective in all 19 applications, and PDT was effective in half of the cases. The Shields group also previously reported a case where PDT was effective in CME with CCH [24].

It is not clear whether the treatment response to advanced CME is poor due to inherent characteristics of the CME or as a result of long-standing CCH. Alternatively, the poor response may be due to the long-term presence of retinal fluid or damage to the structure of the retinal by previous treatments. Each of these reasons alone or in combination may explain this observation.

According to recent research in CCH treatment, PDT has emerged as the treatment of choice with high rates of tumour regression, fluid resorption, and minimal complications [4–7, 18]. Our findings agree with this research as PDT showed the most promising results not only as a primary therapy, but also after the formation of advanced CME.

#### Conclusion

Among patients with long-term follow-up, over half of the patients treated with PDT showed improvement in their BCVAs. In conclusion, PDT appears to be an effective treatment for symptomatic retinal fluid associated with CCH.

#### Abbreviations

BCVA: Best corrected visual acuities; CCH: circumscribed choroidal hemangioma; CME: cystoid macular edema; DD: disc diameter; ELM: external limiting membrane; ICGA: indocyanine green angiography; IRF: intraretinal fluid; IVB: intravitreal bevacizumab injection; LBD: largest base diameter; LogMAR: log of the minimum angle of resolution; OCT: optical coherence tomography; PDT: photodynamic therapy; SMD: serous macular detachment; SRF: subretinal fluid; TTT: transpupillary thermotherapy; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor

#### Acknowledgements

None.

#### Funding

This research was supported by grant of the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Korea government (Ministry of Science and ICT) (grant number: 2018R1C1B6002732). The funding body had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Authors' contributions

Conceptualization of the study: JL and SCL. Data acquisition and analysis: JL, CSL, MK. Manuscript preparation: JL and SCL. All authors have read and approved the manuscript for publication.

#### Ethics approval and consent participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Severance hospital (IRB No. 4–2017-0955) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from each participant.

#### Consent for publication

Consent for publication was obtained from all enrolled patients.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Eye and ENT Hospital, Severance Hospital, Institute of Vision Research, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, South Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Institute of Human Barrier Research, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea.

#### Received: 21 July 2018 Accepted: 4 December 2018 Published online: 13 December 2018

#### References

- Jurklies B, Anastassiou G, Ortmans S, Schuler A, Schilling H, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Bornfeld N. Photodynamic therapy using verteporfin in circumscribed choroidal haemangioma. Br J Ophthalmol. 2003;87(1):84–9.
- Porrini G, Giovannini A, Amato G, Ioni A, Pantanetti M. Photodynamic therapy of circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Ophthalmology. 2003;110(4):674–80.
- Singh AD, Kaiser PK, Sears JE, Gupta M, Rundle PA, Rennie IG. Photodynamic therapy of circumscribed choroidal haemangioma. Br J Ophthalmol. 2004; 88(11):1414–8.
- Blasi MA, Tiberti AC, Scupola A, Balestrazzi A, Colangelo E, Valente P, Balestrazzi E. Photodynamic therapy with verteporfin for symptomatic circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: five-year outcomes. Ophthalmology. 2010;117(8):1630–7.
- Zhang Y, Liu W, Fang Y, Qian J, Xu G, Wang W, Li L, Shen Y, Gao Q. Photodynamic therapy for symptomatic circumscribed macular choroidal hemangioma in Chinese patients. Am J Ophthalmol. 2010;150(5):710–5 e711.

- Tsipursky MS, Golchet PR, Jampol LM. Photodynamic therapy of choroidal hemangioma in sturge-weber syndrome, with a review of treatments for diffuse and circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas. Surv Ophthalmol. 2011; 56(1):68–85.
- Jurklies B, Bornfeld N. The role of photodynamic therapy in the treatment of symptomatic choroidal hemangioma. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2005;243(5):393–6.
- Kwon HJ, Kim M, Lee CS, Lee SC. Treatment of serous macular detachment associated with circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;154(1):137–45 e131.
- García Arumí J, Ramsay LS, Guraya BC. Transpupillary thermotherapy for circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas. Ophthalmology. 2000;107(2):351–6 discussion 357.
- Gunduz K. Transpupillary thermotherapy in the management of circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Surv Ophthalmol. 2004;49(3):316–27.
- 11. Madreperla SA, Hungerford JL, Plowman PN, Laganowski HC, Gregory PT. Choroidal hemangiomas: visual and anatomic results of treatment by photocoagulation or radiation therapy. Ophthalmology. 1997;104(11):1773–8 discussion 1779.
- Schilling H, Sauerwein W, Lommatzsch A, Friedrichs W, Brylak S, Bornfeld N, Wessing A. Long-term results after low dose ocular irradiation for choroidal haemangiomas. Br J Ophthalmol. 1997;81(4):267–73.
- Lopez-Caballero C, Saornil MA, De Frutos J, Bianciotto C, Muinos Y, Almaraz A, Lopez-Lara F, Contreras I. High-dose iodine-125 episcleral brachytherapy for circumscribed choroidal haemangioma. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010;94(4):470–3.
- Naseripour M, Maleki A, Astaraki A, Sedaghat A, Jaberi R, Lee S, Azma Z, Silpa-Archa S. Ruthenium-106 brachytherapy in the treatment of circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Retina. 2017.
- Levy-Gabriel C, Rouic LL, Plancher C, Dendale R, Delacroix S, Asselain B, Habrand JL, Desjardins L. Long-term results of low-dose proton beam therapy for circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas. Retina. 2009;29(2):170–5.
- Song WK, Byeon SH, Kim SS, Kwon OW, Lee SC. Gamma knife radiosurgery for choroidal haemangiomas with extensive exudative retinal detachment. Br J Ophthalmol. 2009;93(6):836–7.
- Tanabe H, Sahashi K, Kitano T, Tomita Y, Saito AM, Hirose H. Effects of oral propranolol on circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: a pilot study. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2013;131(12):1617–22.
- Karimi S, Nourinia R, Mashayekhi A. Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. J Ophthalmic Vis Res. 2015;10(3):320–8.
- Shields CL, Honavar SG, Shields JA, Cater J, Demirci H. Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: clinical manifestations and factors predictive of visual outcome in 200 consecutive cases. Ophthalmology. 2001;108(12): 2237–48.
- Hajali M, Fishman GA, Anderson RJ. The prevalence of cystoid macular oedema in retinitis pigmentosa patients determined by optical coherence tomography. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008;92(8):1065–8.
- Yannuzzi LA, Shakin JL, Fisher YL, Altomonte MA. Peripheral retinal detachments and retinal pigment epithelial atrophic tracts secondary to central serous pigment epitheliopathy. Ophthalmology. 1984;91(12):1554–72.
- 22. Schatz H, Osterloh MD, McDonald HR, Johnson RN. Development of retinal vascular leakage and cystoid macular oedema secondary to central serous chorioretinopathy. Br J Ophthalmol. 1993;77(11):744–6.
- Spaide RF. RETINAL VASCULAR CYSTOID MACULAR EDEMA: review and new theory. Retina. 2016;36(10):1823–42.
- Shields CL, Materin MA, Marr BP, Mashayekhi A, Shields JA. Resolution of advanced cystoid macular edema following photodynamic therapy for choroidal hemangioma. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging. 2005;36(3):237–9.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

