RESEARCH





Characterization of postoperative LASIK ectasia features on higher-order aberration excimer ablation maps

Avi Wallerstein^{1,2*}, Sangeetha Santhakumaran^{3†}, Lauren Tabunar^{2†}, Mark Cohen^{2,4} and Mathieu Gauvin^{1,2}

Abstract

Background To characterize anterior corneal higher-order aberration (HOA) excimer ablation map patterns in postoperative LASIK ectasia (POE) and to examine correlations between newly identified corneal HOA ablation map features of POE and known topographic indices.

Methods Prospective multicenter non-interventional descriptive study. A total of 28 eyes from 22 POE patients were enrolled. The postoperative HOA ablation map was derived from Topolyzer Vario corneal imaging at the time of POE diagnosis. Features that recurred were identified and then analyzed. Correlations to Orbscan indices were studied.

Results An arrangement of two elliptical paracentral ablation islands, deep inferior and shallow superior, in direct mirror-like opposition to each other, were identified on all HOA maps. The paracentral islands were accompanied by peripheral ablation crescents. The deep paracentral inferior island 'hot spot' coincided with the topographical apical POE cone and was highly reproducible in angular position ($249.3 \pm 17.3^\circ$). There was significant variation in ablation depth (shallow superior island: $11.5 \pm 6.9 \mu$ m and deep inferior island: $32.5 \pm 18.8 \mu$ m). The superior crescents had high variability in depth ($34.8 \pm 18.9 \mu$ m). Strong correlations were found between the corneal irregularity index and the ablation depth difference between the deep and shallow paracentral islands (R=0.96; *P*<0.0001).

Conclusion The corneal HOA excimer ablation map revealed a recurring, distinct, easily recognizable pattern in POE eyes. Validated Orbscan POE indices and HOA ablation map islands showed a strong correlation. It is possible to extract useful information from the corneal HOA ablation map, potentially making it suitable for diagnosing and monitoring POE although more studies are needed.

Keywords Topography, Contoura, Ectasia, Diagnosis, Higher-order aberration

[†]Sangeetha Santhakumaran and Lauren Tabunar equally contributed to this study.

*Correspondence: Avi Wallerstein awallerstein@lasikmd.com ¹LASIK MD, 1250 Rene-Levesque Blvd W, MD Level, H3B 4W8 Montreal, QC, Canada

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

 ²Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
³Department of Ophthalmology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada
⁴Department of Surgery, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC,

⁴Department of Surgery, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

Background

Postoperative ectasia (POE) is a rare adverse outcome of laser in-situ keratomileusis (LASIK) refractive surgery. The reported prevalence of POE is low, ranging between 0.01% and 0.6% [1, 2]. POE is characterized by reduced corneal biomechanical stability, progressive corneal steepening, stromal thinning, and irregular astigmatism [3, 4]. With time, these changes result in visual impairment and associated patient morbidity. Diagnosis of POE is made using clinical findings coupled with topographic, topometric, tomographic, and biomechanical indices [2, 5]. While the ultimate diagnostic challenge lies in predicting the risk of POE preoperatively, identifying earlystage POE is equally important for timely intervention with corneal crosslinking [6, 7].

Indicators to diagnose POE and keratoconus are similar, including the use of corneal anterior and posterior elevation and curvature, corneal thickness and biomechanical indices, epithelial patterns, and wavefront aberration metrics [8. 9]. Currently, no single diagnostic parameter is sensitive enough to distinguish early cases of POE from normals. Indices from multiple corneal imaging modalities are generally used to guide decision-making.

The Alcon platform for topography-guided excimer treatments uses the WaveLight ° Topolyzer™ VARIO high-resolution Placido disc topographer to image the cornea and create HOA ablation maps preoperatively. The topographic data is used by the excimer laser treatment software (named Contoura) to generate a treatment ablation map that combines both lower-order aberration astigmatism and higher-order aberration (HOA) data into one image, providing ablation depth data [8]. The Contoura planning software allows one to separate out and exclusively see the anterior corneal HOA ablation map by setting the sphere and cylinder treatments to zero. This map graphically shows the location and depth of anterior corneal HOAs to be treated with the excimer laser [8–11]. The Topolyzer[™] VARIO and resulting HOA ablation maps are used for treatment and have never been used as a POE diagnostic tool. While corneal topography provides valuable information about the shape and curvature of the cornea, this map provides complementary details, specifically highlighting localized corneal higher-order aberrations that may not be fully captured by corneal topography alone.

Despite previously being reported in virgin corneas and keratoconus [8, 12], eyes with POE have not been investigated for corneal HOA ablation map patterns or ablation depths. The current study aims to thoroughly characterize the corneal HOA ablation map patterns in POE eyes and determine if a correlation exists between newly identified POE corneal HOA ablation map features and other gold-standard topographic indices of POE, such as maximal keratometry, corneal irregularity indices, and posterior elevation. This paper's goal is to first characterize the HOA ablation map in POE eyes as a first step for future studies to validate the inferiority or superiority of the HOA ablation map in identifying or grading POE.

Methods

Selection of POE patients

The study was a prospective, non-interventional descriptive study. Consecutive LASIK patients diagnosed with unilateral or bilateral POE at their postoperative LASIK follow-up between January 2017 and December 2022 were entered into the study. Recruited patients underwent VARIO Topolyzer imaging, with the HOA excimer corneal ablation map generated at the time of POE diagnosis. This study did not reimage on subsequent visits nor look at the impact of POE progression longitudinally. A sample size of 20 patients was targeted. The target sample size of 20 patients was based on several factors, including feasibility within the study period and availability of patients diagnosed with POE during the specified timeframe. To account for the possibility of poor quality or artifactual imaging, an additional five POE patients were recruited. POE diagnosis was determined using the same previously published standardized criteria to identify POE [5, 13]. These measures included topographical changes consistent with POE together with a decrease in UDVA and/or CDVA and/or a decrease in quality of vision subjectively described by the patient as causing new or worsening haloes, glare, ghosting, or shadowing. In addition to a complete slit-lamp examination, Orbscan topography (Bausch & Lomb, Claremont, CA, USA), and corneal epithelium thickness map imaging (iVue, Optovue, Fremont, CA, USA) were taken. The cases were sent to a consultation group where a highly experienced group of five surgeons diagnosed POE. The rationale for using multiple diagnostic criteria with the consulting group of surgeons was to validate objective assessments that confirm the POE diagnosis. There were no exclusion criteria based on POE severity stage. The study was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the Canadian Ophthalmic Research Centre and fulfilled all principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent for anonymized data use was received from all patients.

Topography acquisition and analysis of corneal HOA ablation map

Contoura HOA ablation map images were produced using four to eight corneal topographies acquired with the WaveLight[®] Topolyzer[™] VARIO (Alcon) at a 6.5 mm optical zone, as previously described [8, 9, 12, 14]. The HOA ablation map scale is normalized to the deepest ablation value, resulting in each map having an identical range of colors from green to purple, irrespective of ablation depth [12]. Green and yellow colors are indicative of a shallower ablation, while red and purple colors are indicative of a deeper ablation. Deep and shallow ablation areas were identified and classified as distinct *ablation islands* [12]. Ablation islands inside and outside the 3.5 mm diameter zone were termed as paracentral and peripheral, respectively [12].

HOA Ablation maps were imported in MATLAB R2023a (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) and quantitatively characterized with the Image Processing Toolbox™ (MATLAB), as in our previous proof-of-concept study [12]. In our current POE eyes, we observed up to 4 recurring ablation islands on the HOA ablation map, similar to what we had seen in KC eyes [12]. For each island, the centroid, distance from the center, position (between 0 and 360°), orientation (between 0 and 180°), circularity, diameter, area, and maximal ablation depth were assessed, as described previously (Supplemental Figure A) [12]. To account for enantiomorphism, the mirrorsymmetry between the right and left eyes, the orientation of all left eyes (OS) was flipped along the vertical axis during the analysis. This ensured that the generated figures and calculations accurately represented the spatial distribution of ablation islands, allowing for a comprehensive assessment of their locations and avoiding any potential misinterpretation due to asymmetric presentation between OD and OS eyes.

Data and statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in MATLAB R2023a. Feature means, standard deviations (SD), and range were calculated after confirming that all reported variables were normally distributed with Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests. The coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated as SD divided by the mean and used to assess reproducibility of identified features Relationships between continuous variables were assessed by Pearson correlation coefficient (R-value). The significance level was set at P < 0.05.

Results

A total of 33 eyes were enrolled from 25 patients. A total of five eyes were excluded from the study due to poor quality or artifactual Topolyzer VARIO scans. Namely, 3 eyes were excluded based on significant missing data (shadow from the eyelids, eyelashes, nose, or dry tear film), 1 eye was excluded for having less than 90% percentage of data obtained (analyzed area) in the 6.5-mm zone, and 1 eye was excluded for having a low median of absolute deviation variability score below 0.10. The resultant 28 eyes from 22 patients were included for analysis. The patients' average age was 37 ± 10 years (range: 22 to 62 years). Orbscan imaging data (4 Maps – Refractive view) revealed findings consistent with POE (Fig. 1).

Corneal epithelium profiles were abnormal in all eyes, showing thinning inferotemporally, superioperipheral thickening, or a partial characteristic donut pattern (Fig. 1). The topographic and epithelial OCT findings were used to confirm POE by a group of expert surgeons. The POE grade, based on the magnitude of inferior steepening, is reported in Supplemental Table A. 4 eyes had less than 2.00 D of steepening, 10 eyes had between 2.00 and 4.00 D of steepening, 4 eyes had between 4.00 and 6.00 D of steepening, 2 eyes had between 8.00 and 10.00 D of steepening, 1 eye had between 10.00 and 12.00 D of steepening, and 1 eye had 12.00 D or move. See Supplemental Table A for additional individual Orbscan indices and group data.

Characterization and reproducibility of 4 ablation Islands on the corneal HOA ablation map

Representative individual POE HOA ablation maps are shown in Fig. 2 along with the characteristics of all ablation islands (methods described in Supplemental Figure A. In each HOA ablation map, we identified one (in 17/28 eyes: 60.7%) or two (in 11/28 eyes: 39.3%) red / purple deep peripheral crescent-shaped ablation islands (identified as Island #1 and #4; Fig. 2). 92.9% of the deep peripheral crescents were found in the superior quadrant, and 56.4% were oriented nasally. We also identified a yellow/green shallow paracentral superior ablation island (identified as Island #2 in Fig. 2), located in the superior quadrant in all 28 eyes (100%) as well as nasally in 19 eyes (67.9%), and a deep red/purple paracentral inferior ablation island (identified as Island #3 in Fig. 2), in the inferior quadrant in all 28 eyes (100%), as well as temporally in 26 eyes (92.9%).

Both the yellow/green paracentral superior ablation islands and the red/purple paracentral inferior ablation islands were elliptical in shape (Circularity: $69.4\pm9.2\%$ and $74.9\pm14.0\%$; Table 1), of small diameter (3.3 ± 1.0 mm and 2.7 ± 1.0 mm), and of similar area (3.8 ± 1.6 mm² and 3.4 ± 1.7 mm²). Due to their crescent-like shapes, the red/ purple peripheral superior crescents had much smaller circularity indices ($38.6\pm16.5\%$) and were larger in area and longer in diameter.

Group data reveals that the paracentral superior ablation island (Fig. 3A; green circles) and the red/ purple paracentral inferior ablation island (Fig. 3A; red diamonds) were reproducible in terms of distance from center (1.4 ± 0.2 mm; CV: 15.5% and 1.4 ± 0.4 mm; CV: 30.1%). The angular position of the superior ablation island was overall superionasal ($82.7\pm47.3^{\circ}$) with a high variability (CV: 57.2%), while the inferior ablation island position was overall inferotemporal ($249.3\pm17.3^{\circ}$) and highly reproducible (CV: 6.9%). The ablation depth of the superior and inferior deep ablation island varied



Individual HOA Ablation Maps, Corneal

Fig. 1 Individual higher-order aberration ablation maps, epithelium thickness profiles, and Orbscan imaging data (4 Maps - Refractive view)

significantly (11.5 \pm 6.9 µm; CV: 60.5% and 32.5 \pm 18.8 µm; CV: 50.7%). The distance from the center of the superior peripheral ablation crescents (Fig. 3A, purple markers) was highly reproducible (3.2±0.1 mm; CV: 3.9%). Peripheral crescents had a superior angular position (90.1±65.9°; CV: 73.2%) and a large range of depth $(34.8\pm18.9 \,\mu\text{m}; \text{CV: } 54.4\%)$. The data and CV for all ablation islands can be found in Table 1; Fig. 3B shows the overall shapes using the average of all 28 HOA ablation maps.

Very strong correlations were found between the depth of the deepest peripheral crescents and the depth of the

Quantitative Measures of Individual HOA Ablation Maps

Internet #	1	2	2	Λ		Jalamet H	1	2	2	4	
Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #02 OD	Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #02 OS
Distance	3.1	1.3	1.5	N/A		Distance	3.1	1.4	1.4	N/A	*1
Position	103.3	106.7	243.4	N/A	*2	Position	68.3	41.5	283.2	N/A	
Orientation	12.6	5.6	1/9.3	N/A		Orientation	158.7	164.9	1/3.3	N/A	
spnericity	40.2	72.2	79.1	N/A	*3	Sphericity	42.5	77.8	67.7	N/A	*3
Diameter	4.8	3.8	2.6	N/A		Diameter	4.4	3.0	2.8	N/A	
Area	3.9	4.5	3.0	N/A		Area	3.5	3.3	2.7	N/A	
Deptn	49.1	12.9	43.5	N/A		Depth	45.2	15.8	38.0	N/A	
Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #03 OD	Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #01 OS
Distance	3.2	1.4	1.5	3.1	*1	Distance	3.3	1.2	1.3	3.1	
Position	132.0	112.1	254.1	10.6	*2	Position	161.3	99.5	264.8	42.4	*1 *2 *4
Orientation	41.0	28.1	54.2	98.1		Orientation	72.4	171.6	151.5	135.2	
Sphericity	58.8	58.7	90.4	84.2	*3	Sphericity	48.0	55.0	84.8	49.5	*3
Diameter	3.8	3.6	2.2	1.8		Diameter	3.5	4.2	2.8	3.6	
Area	3.4	3.1	1.3	2.5		Area	2.4	3.8	3.9	2.6	
Depth	18.0	4.1	13.7	13.6		Depth	15.9	6.2	15.3	15.9	
Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #9 OD	island #	1	2	3	4	POE #09 OS
Distance	3.4	1.4	0.8	N/A	*1	Distance	3.3	1.2	1.5	N/A	
Position	60.8	77.1	231.3	N/A	*2	Position	146.3	114.7	301.8	N/A	*2
Orientation	147.4	168.7	174.2	N/A		Orientation	34.8	13.5	18.1	N/A	
Sphericity	18.6	53.2	96.5	N/A	*3	Sphericity	17.6	50.6	94.5	N/A	*3
Diameter	8.7	4.5	2.2	N/A		Diameter	9.2	5.2	2.0	N/A	
Area	7.0	4.7	2.8	N/A		Area	6.2	6.3	2.5	N/A	
Depth	77.5	16.2	74 3	Ν/Δ		Denth	73.4	15.9	70.1	N/A	
			74.5	N/A		- op an			7012	,	
Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #10 OD	Island #	1	2	3	4	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance	1 3.3	2	3 1.5	4 N/A	POE #10 OD	Island # Distance	1 3.2	2	3 1.5	4 N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position	1 3.3 55.8	2 1.2 38.4	3 1.5 241.4	4 N/A N/A	POE #10 OD	Island # Distance Position	1 3.2 146.3	2 1.2 144.7	3 1.5 302.8	4 N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation	1 3.3 55.8 145.6	2 1.2 38.4 136.3	3 1.5 241.4 163.8	4 N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OD	Island # Distance Position Orientation	1 3.2 146.3 52.7	2 1.2 144.7 46.9	3 1.5 302.8 42.4	4 N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6	3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OD	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2	3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OD	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7	3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 1 2 3 1 0D	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7	3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island #	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2	3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A A	POE #10 OD	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island #	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 4	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3	3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 4 N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 4 N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •2 •3 •2 •2 •2 •3 •2 •2 •2 •2 •2 •2 •2 •2 •2 •2	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •3 •2 •2 •3 •2 •2 •3 •2 •2 •4 •5 •2 •5 •5 •5 •5 •5 •5 •5 •5 •5 •5	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0	3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island #	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island #	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1 3.1	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2 1.5	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3 1.4	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Island # Distance	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1 3.1	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2 1.4	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3 1.3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS 1 2 3 3 0 POE #20 OS 1 2 3 0 POE #20 OS 1 2 3 0 POE #08 OS POE #08 OS
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1 3.1 99.6	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2 1.5 46.1	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3 1.4 231.8	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1 3.1 160.4	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2 1.4 175.0	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3 1.3 289.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS 1 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1 3.1 99.6 8.9	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2 1.5 46.1 2.2	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3 1.4 231.8 173.1	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1 3.1 160.4 72.8	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2 1.4 175.0 70.3	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3 1.3 289.5 74.3	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS 1 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1 3.1 99.6 8.9 44.7	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2 1.5 46.1 2.2 71.1	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3 1.4 231.8 173.1 80.2	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 1 1 0D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Distance	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1 3.1 160.4 72.8 25.5	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2 1.4 175.0 70.3 70.9	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3 1.3 289.5 74.3 63.9	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS 1 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Distance	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1 3.1 99.6 8.9 44.7 4.4	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2 1.5 46.1 2.2 71.1 2.6	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3 1.4 231.8 173.1 80.2 2.6	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Distance	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1 3.1 160.4 72.8 25.5 4.8	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2 1.4 175.0 70.3 70.9 4.3	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3 1.3 289.5 74.3 63.9 2.5	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area	1 3.3 55.8 145.6 17.6 8.3 4.6 61.6 1 3.3 61.6 153.4 21.8 7.8 6.2 12.3 1 3.1 99.6 8.9 44.7 4.4 3.8	2 1.2 38.4 136.3 61.6 2.2 2.7 21.7 2 1.3 80.8 176.7 58.5 4.2 4.1 4.8 2 1.5 46.1 2.2 71.1 2.6 3.6	3 3 1.5 241.4 163.8 94.5 2.4 3.7 64.3 3 1.1 251.6 175.1 80.3 3.5 5.7 12.3 3 1.4 231.8 173.1 80.2 2.6 2.8	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0D 0	Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diameter Area Depth Island # Distance Position Orientation Sphericity Diatance Position Orientation Sphericity Distance	1 3.2 146.3 52.7 23.2 7.1 4.9 37.1 1 3.3 122.9 32.2 23.5 7.6 6.5 15.4 1 3.1 160.4 72.8 25.5 4.8 3.6	2 1.2 144.7 46.9 97.6 2.5 3.9 16.0 2 1.3 106.2 0.3 57.4 5.0 5.9 4.2 2 1.4 175.0 70.3 70.9 4.3 5.6	3 3 1.5 302.8 42.4 82.5 3.2 4.7 38.1 3 1.0 293.5 173.1 89.4 2.5 3.4 15.4 3 289.5 74.3 63.9 2.5 2.9	4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	POE #10 OS 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

Fig. 2 Representative HOA ablation maps. The yellow/green colors on each HOA map indicate shallower ablation values, while the red/purple colors indicate deeper ablation. The colors of each map are normalized to their deepest ablation values. Every HOA map featured one or two red/purple peripheral ablation crescents (islands 1 and 4), a yellow/green paracentral superior ablation island (island #2), and a red/purple paracentral inferior ablation map islands based on their distance from center, positioning, orientation, circularity, diameter, area, and depth

paracentral inferior ablation islands (R=0.99; P<0.0001) and between the depths of the two paracentral ablation islands (R=0.83; P<0.0001). The position of the paracentral ellipses was not statistically correlated to the angular position of the peripheral crescents (P>0.05).

Correlations between ablation island features and Orbscan indices

We examined whether the ablation depth parameter correlated with POE severity. A Pearson correlation was calculated between each ablation island depth and

Table 1 Mean and range of descriptors of the four HOA ablation profile islands identified in POE eyes

Descriptors	Ablation islan	d #1	Ablation island #2		Ablation islan	d #3	Ablation island #4	
	Mean±SD (CV %)	Range	Mean±SD (CV %)	Range	Mean±SD (CV %)	Range	Mean±SD (CV %)	Range
Distance (mm)	3.2±0.1 (3.9%)	2.8 to 3.4	1.4±0.2 (15.5%)	1.0 to 1.8	1.4±0.4 (30.1%)	0.8 to 2.8	3.2±0.2 (5.0%)	2.9 to 3.5
Position (°)	90.1±65.9 (73.2%)	1.5 to 357.9	82.7±47.3 (57.2%)	5.0 to 172.5	249.3±17.3 (6.9%)	213.2 to 294.1	137.0±120.3 (87.8%)	9.1 to 352.0
Orientation (°)	88.8±58.1 (65.4%)	8.9 to 166.6	104.7±68.4 (65.3%)	2.2 to 179.8	101.9±67.7 (66.4%)	6.2 to 179.3	78.0±24.6 (31.5%)	37.8 to 112.1
Circularity (%)	38.6±16.5 (42.7%)	12.4 to 71.0	69.4±9.2 (12.9%)	48.4 to 97.6	74.9±14.0 (18.7%)	47.7 to 96.5	56.1±15.5 (27.6%)	32.8 to 84.2
Diameter (mm)	5.0±1.9 (37.7%)	2.5 to 9.2	3.3±1.0 (31.6%)	1.2 to 5.2	2.7±1.0 (35.9%)	1.8 to 6.9	2.6±0.6 (22.8%)	1.8 to 3.6
Area (mm ²)	3.9±1.4 (35.3%)	1.6 to 7.0	3.8±1.6 (42.3%)	0.5 to 7.4	3.4±1.7 (50.7%)	1.4 to 10.0	1.7±0.7 (42.6%)	0.7 to 2.9
Depth (µm)	34.8±18.9 (54.4%)	12.3 to 77.5	11.5±6.9 (60.5%)	3.6 to 25.5	32.5±18.8 (50.7%)	12.1 to 74.3	23.4±12.6 (51.7%)	12.6 to 46.1

Ablation island #1 = deep peripheral superior crescent; Ablation island #2 = shallow paracentral superior ablation island; Ablation island #3 = deep paracentral inferior ablation island; Ablation island #4 = deep peripheral crescent, only applicable to cases presenting with two deep peripheral crescents; CV=Coefficient of variation, POE=Postoperative LASIK ectasia

8 different Orbscan indices (Kmax, Steepest K, SimK, CII(3 mm), CII(5 mm), Steepening(5 mm), CCT, and Post. Elevation: Table 2) are reported. Very strong correlations were found between the corneal irregularity index (CII at 5 mm) and the depth of the peripheral crescents (R=0.92; P<0.0001), between the corneal irregularity index and the ablation depth of the paracentral inferotemporal island (R=0.93; P<0.0001), between the corneal irregularity index and the difference of ablation depth between the deep paracentral inferotemporal island and the shallow paracentral superionasal island (R=0.96; P<0.0001; Fig. 4), and between the posterior elevation (Post. Elevation) and the ablation depth difference between the deep paracentral inferior island and the shallow paracentral superior island (R=0.84; P<0.0001). Additional statistically significant correlations are reported in Table 2.

Discussion

By combining the anterior corneal HOA Zernike coefficients (C6 through C27) from Topolyzer VARIO highresolution scans, the WaveLight Contoura planning software produces an anterior corneal HOA ablation map that is eye-specific. The map is used to differentially ablate small amounts of irregular corneal tissue within the spherocylindrical ablation [12]. This HOA ablation map illustrates the shapes, locations, and depths of excimer laser tissue removal patterns used to correct anterior corneal surface HOA wavefront errors [12]. Unlike Zernike whole-eye HOA values, these maps provide additional information related to position, eccentricity, depth, orientation, and shape of anterior corneal HOAs. A pilot and proof-of-concept study demonstrated the usability of the corneal HOA ablation map in characterizing keratoconus eyes of grade 2 and above [12]. This study is the first to analyze the HOA ablation map in POE eyes and to objectively quantify the graphical representation of anterior corneal HOAs. Seven objective descriptors from 4 ablation islands were derived. These new metrics can now be used to describe features seen in POE eyes and would be applicable to the HOA ablation maps of any topography-guided platform.

In POE eyes, recurring ablation islands were consistently seen on the corneal HOA ablation maps. These consisted of two elliptical paracentral mirror image ablation islands of similar circularity and area, with a deeper inferior island (inferior quadrant in 100% of eyes and temporally in 92.9% of eyes) and its shallow corresponding superior island (superior quadrant in 100% of eyes, and nasally in 67.9% of eyes). The deep paracentral inferior island had high reproducibility in angular position. The distance from center was also reproducible. Both paracentral ablation islands were always within a 3.4 mm diameter central ring (maximum 1.7 mm radius from center). One or two superior crescents of varying lengths were also consistently seen within the paracentral 6-7 mm diameter ring, positioned in the superior quadrant in 93% of cases and nasally in 56% of cases. The above-reported distances are those obtained with a 6.5 mm optical zone size, used in all eyes in the current study.

The deeper paracentral inferior ellipse visually corresponded to both anterior and posterior topography/ tomography elevation peaks and the corneal thinnest spot. Our previous study in keratoconus showed that, on average, the inferotemporal deeper island was nearly identical in distance to the cone apex center seen on topography elevation maps [12]. Similar findings were



Group Data Scatergram and Averaged HOA Ablation Map

Fig. 3 (A) Group data of every ablation island. The distance from center, the angular position, and the ablation depth of the paracentral superior ablation island (island #2; green circles), the red/purple paracentral inferior ablation island (Island #3; red diamonds) and the deep peripheral ablation crescents (Island #1 and Island #4; purple markers) are shown for all 28 POE eyes (B) Composite HOA ablation map obtained by averaging the HOA ablation map of all 28 postoperative ectasia cases

Parameters	Depth of island #1/4	Depth of island #2	Depth of island #3	∆ islands #3 and #2
Kmax	0.4420 (0.0185)	-0.07 (0.7356)	0.40 (0.0344)	0.59 (0.0009)
Steepest K	0.24 (0.2278)	0.10 (0.6295)	0.17 (0.3881)	0.19 (0.3413)
SimK	0.75 (<0.0001)	0.36 (0.0625)	0.74 (<0.0001)	0.84 (<0.0001)
CII (3 mm)	0.90 (<0.0001)	0.62 (0.0005)	0.91 (<0.0001)	0.95 (<0.0001)
Cll (5 mm)	0.92 (<0.0001)	0.65 (0.0002)	0.93 (<0.0001)	0.96 (<0.0001)
Steepening (5 mm)	0.76 (< 0.0001)	0.48 (0.0095)	0.76 (<0.0001)	0.80 (<0.0001)
сст	-0.20 (0.3080)	-0.20 (0.3103)	-0.21 (0.2942)	-0.18 (0.3506)
Post. Elevation	0.71 (<0.0001)	0.37 (0.0542)	0.74 (<0.0001)	0.84 (< 0.0001)

	Table 2	Pearson	correlation	between	ablation	depth and	Orbscan Indices
--	---------	---------	-------------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------------

Values reported as Pearson correlation coefficient R. P-values are reported in brackets. Values in bold indicate P<0.05. Island #1/4=deep peripheral crescents; Island #2=shallow paracentral superior ablation island; Island #3=deep paracentral inferior ablation island; CCT=Central Corneal Thickness; CII=Corneal Irregularity Index; Kmax=maximal keratometry; Post. = Posterior; SimK=Simulated keratometry (anterior); Δ =Difference between the two islands

Index (CII) and HOA ablation map descriptor (N = 28) 10 9 R = 0.9589; $R^2 = 0.9195$ 8 Orbscan CII at 5mm 7 6 5 4 Ъ. 3 2 P Individual data Linear fitting 0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 Difference between the depth of island #3 and island #2

Relationship between Orbscan Corneal Irregularity

Fig. 4 Linear relationship between Orbscan Corneal Irregularity Index (CII) at 5 mm and the difference between the ablation depth of island #3 and island #2

found in the current study of POE eyes. The inferior angular position of the deep paracentral ablation island $(249.3\pm17.3^{\circ})$ was consistent with the POE cone position and with the previously reported KC cone apex position [12]. Based on our current and previous findings, the inferotemporal "hot spot" can be reliably identified as the apical cone. Our former study characterizing HOA maps in keratoconus eyes revealed a similar pattern of superior peripheral crescents, a shallow superionasal ablation island, and a deep inferotemporal ablation island [12]. The deep and shallow paracentral ellipses were positioned as directly opposing each other in all eyes. These islands represent the differential corneal ablation pattern that is required to correct the comatic aberrations that are caused by a localized decentered corneal elevation – seen in POE. Likewise, the peripheral crescent ablation contributes to comatic correction by causing a relative elevation more centrally with a further peripheral ablation superiorly. This explains why in eyes with comadominated optics, the depth of the paracentral islands and peripheral crescents have previously been found to be highly correlated [12]. Coma was always the dominant aberration in all POE eyes, regardless of concomitant spherical aberration and trefoil, like KC eyes [12].

Regardless of where the decentered POE cone was located, the characteristic comatic ablation pattern was identified and was identical to the pattern found in KC eyes [12]. Anterior wavefront aberrometry maps have shown similar characteristic coma patterns in POE and KC eves, with a familiar superior red and inferior blue opposing ellipses [15–19]. Patterns derived from wavefront maps lack details regarding the location and depth of the irregularity causing the aberration on the cornea. By measuring both the ablation depth and the location of comatic aberrations on the cornea, the corneal HOA ablation map provides novel graphical and quantitative information that are anatomically linked to the corneal surface [12]. While the use of wavefront aberration metrics is not new, the novelty of our work lies in the characterization of the HOA ablation map and its potential value as a diagnostic and monitoring tool for POE. Identifying the highly recognizable patterns in clinical practice - seen here in all 28 POE eyes - should lead to further investigation and OCT epithelial imaging to confirm the POE diagnosis. Comparison group studies with

specificity and sensitivity analyses would add value in confirming the HOA ablation map's role in identifying POE eyes.

Previous studies have used anterior corneal coma Zernike coefficients to diagnose KC and monitor its progression [15, 19–22]. However, these Zernike metrics have not been extensively studied and currently have insufficient sensitivity and specificity in early KC and POE. While the HOA ablation map is derived from Zernike coefficients, the ablation map provides additional graphical and depth data regarding HOAs. This more comprehensive graphical representation may be found to improve diagnostic accuracy beyond Zernike coefficients.

The maximal depth in the HOA ablation map has been shown to be directly and highly correlated with the amount of anterior corneal HOAs, with total coma having the highest correlation [23]. A previous study showed that the maximal HOA ablation map depth in normal eyes, using a 6.5 mm optical zone, was 8.02 ± 3.00 µm, compared to $34.85 \pm 18.9 \ \mu m$ in the current study's POE eyes, which is 4.3-fold higher [8]. A deeper value of 74.5 µm was seen in KC eyes which had greater severity of Grade 2 and above [12]. In addition, the ablation depth had a larger coefficient of variation than in KC eyes for all ablation islands, which reflects the varying severity of POE cases included in the current study. Pearson correlations revealed Orbscan Sim K, CII(3 mm), CII(5 mm), and post elevation as having very strong correlations with the maximum ablation depth of the paracentral inferotemporal ablation island. The relationship between the Orbscan corneal irregularity index (CII) and the difference between the deep paracentral inferior island (island #3) and the shallow paracentral superior island (island #2) led to the highest correlation (R=0.96). (Fig. 4).

As the difference between the ablation depth of the deep and shallow ablation islands increases, the amount of coma reflected by corneal irregularity is increasing. The depth difference of the two islands may therefore have the potential to serve as a novel metric for quantifying POE severity. However, it is essential to compare this indicator with traditional standards to draw meaningful conclusions. Further studies to determine the best statistical threshold to classify normal vs. ectatic corneas could prove useful.

Schäffeler & Kohnen previously reported that coma aberrations were significantly correlated with POE severity [24]. Padmanabhan and colleagues also found coma aberrations and spherical aberrations to be significantly correlated with the grade of POE [25]. Delgado et al. previously reported a high correlation between coma aberration and KC severity (R=0.60) [26]. The current POE study found much higher correlations (R=0.96), even higher than our KC study (R = -0.74). High correlations

were also found between the inferior paracentral ablation island's maximum depth and Orbscan grading indices (Kmax, SimK, CII [3 and 5 mm], steepening, and posterior elevation). These high correlations suggest that the HOA ablation map may have the potential to increase the sensitivity and specificity of POE diagnosis and grading compared to individual corneal Zernike coefficients alone.

Even though this case series is the first to describe the HOA ablation map in POE eyes, future studies with a larger sample size and a comparative group will be needed to evaluate the diagnostic power of these novel descriptors. Determining if other clinical conditions create a similar pattern, quantifying differences, and establishing discriminating values would be useful. The question of how frequently healthy non-POE LASIK eyes have the same HOA ablation map pattern as our POE cohort, yet never developing ectasia, has not been investigated. Determining the sensitivity and specificity of the HOA ablation map would be the subject of future studies. Future studies will aim to confirm that the described POE features found in the current study are specific to POE by comparing the HOA ablation map of POE eyes to a group of normal postoperative corneas, a group of suspect POE corneas and a group of definitive POE corneas. While our study focused on characterizing the HOA ablation map in POE eyes, we acknowledge the potential benefits of incorporating VARIO Topolyzer imaging as a routine component of postoperative follow-ups. This would allow for the assessment of preoperative and postoperative corneal characteristics, enabling a more comprehensive evaluation of the predictive value of VARIO Topolyzer imaging for early-stage POE. Furthermore, investigating the long-term outcomes and comparing the incidence of POE in treated versus untreated eyes would help determine whether LASIK indeed induces ectasia or simply accelerates its presentation. While a longitudinal study would be necessary to fully address the question of causality, the purpose of our study was not to establish causality, but rather characterize the maps in POE eyes. Comparison to existing technologies for early keratoconus and POE detection and disease progression also warrants further investigation. While the specific characteristics of the HOA ablation map might differ across topography-guided platforms due to various proprietary algorithms and tweaks, the underlying concept of analyzing HOA ablation patterns remains relevant. We encourage further investigations and collaborations involving different platforms (e.g., from Zeiss, Nidek, IVis, or Schwind) to assess the generalizability and transferability of the HOA ablation map and enhance its clinical utility. In this preliminary study, our focus was on using and characterizing the raw original HOA ablation maps without normalization. Future studies might explore the potential benefits of transforming and/or normalizing the HOA ablation maps to a specific position or depth, such as that of the peripheral superior crescents or other islands. The above-mentioned detailed investigations were not the goal of the current pilot study in POE eyes.

Conclusions

In summary, this study gualitatively and guantitively characterizes reproducible features of the HOA ablation map in POE eves and adds novel objective measures of POE corneal irregularities. Like KC eyes, POE eyes with an inferiorly displaced cone produce a unique and highly recognizable pattern on the HOA ablation map. Namely, two paracentral ablation islands in direct mirror-like opposition to each other, one shallower superior and one deeper inferior, which are accompanied by longer peripheral, mostly superior, crescents of ablation. The deep inferior ablation island is coincident with the topographical POE cone, and the depth difference between the shallow and deep paracentral islands strongly correlates to Corneal Irregularity Indices (CII) at 3 and 5 mm. Further studies should be conducted to determine cut-off values for separating normal postoperative eyes from clinical POE with sensitivity and specificity. The corneal HOA ablation map can potentially yield new information that can be used to diagnose, grade, and monitor POE progression both before and after corneal crosslinking.

List of abbreviations

CDVA	Corrected Distance Visual Acuity
HOA	Higher-order aberration
KC	Keratoconus
LASIK	Laser-Assisted in Situ Keratomileusis
LRS	Laser Refractive Surgery
POE	Postoperative ectasia

- PRK Photorefractive Keratectomy
- UDVA Uncorrected Distance Visual Acuity

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12886-023-03263-y.

Supplementary Material 1 Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

AW, MC, and MG had the idea for this study and did the initial brainstorm. MG programmed (MATLAB) the algorithm to automatically analyze the HOA ablation map. SS and LT made data acquisition. MG, SS, and LT thoroughly analyzed the data. SS and LT were equal contributors in writing the initial draft of the manuscript. MG, MC, and AW reviewed the manuscript several times, and all authors approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No grants and/or other financial support were received in relation to the study.

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the Canadian Ophthalmic Research Centre and fulfilled all principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, Written informed consent for anonymized data use and consent to participate was received from all patients.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 18 April 2023 / Accepted: 11 December 2023 Published online: 20 December 2023

References

- Spadea L, Cantera E, Cortes M, Conocchia NE, Stewart CW. Corneal ectasia after myopic laser in situ keratomileusis: a long-term study. Clin Ophthalmol (Auckland NZ). 2012;6:1801.
- Bohac M, Koncarevic M, Pasalic A, Biscevic A, Merlak M, Gabric N, Patel S. Incidence and clinical characteristics of post LASIK ectasia: a review of over 30,000 LASIK cases. Seminars in ophthalmology. Taylor & Francis; 2018. pp. 869–77.
- Seiler T, Koufala K, Richter G. latrogenic keratectasia after laser in situ keratomileusis. J Refract Surg. 1998;14:312–7.
- Binder PS. Ectasia after laser in situ keratomileusis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2003;29:2419–29.
- Twa MD, Nichols JJ, Joslin CE, Kollbaum PS, Edrington TB, Bullimore MA, Mitchell GL, Cruickshanks KJ, Schanzlin DJ. Characteristics of corneal ectasia after LASIK for myopia. Cornea. 2004;23:447–57.
- Vinciguerra P, Camesasca FI, Albè E, Trazza S. Corneal collagen cross-linking for ectasia after excimer laser refractive Surgery: 1-year results. J Refract Surg. 2010;26:486–97.
- Bromley JG, Randleman JB. Treatment strategies for corneal ectasia. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2010;21:255.
- Wallerstein A, Gauvin M, Cohen M. Effect of Anterior corneal higher-order aberration ablation depth on primary topography-guided LASIK outcomes. J Refract Surg. 2019;35:754–62.
- Wallerstein A, Caron-Cantin M, Gauvin M, Adiguzel E, Cohen M, Primary Topography-Guided LASIK. Refractive, visual, and subjective quality of Vision outcomes for Astigmatism 2.00 Diopters. J Refract Surg. 2019;35:78–86.
- Faria-Correia F, Ribeiro S, Monteiro T, Lopes BT, Salomao MQ, Ambrosio R. Jr. Topography-guided Custom Photorefractive Keratectomy for Myopia in primary eyes with the WaveLight EX500 platform. J Refract Surg. 2018;34:541–6.
- Stulting RD, Fant BS, Bond W, Chotiner B, Durrie D, Gordon M, Milauskas A, Moore C, Slade S, Randleman JB, Stonecipher K. Results of topographyguided laser in situ keratomileusis custom ablation treatment with a refractive excimer laser. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2016;42:11–8.
- Wallerstein A, Gauvin M, Mimouni M, Racine L, Salimi A, Cohen M. Keratoconus features on corneal higher-order aberration ablation maps: Proof-of-Concept of a New Diagnostic modality. Clin Ophthalmol. 2021;15:623–33.
- Randleman JB, Russell B, Ward MA, Thompson KP, Stulting RD. Risk factors and prognosis for corneal ectasia after LASIK. Ophthalmology. 2003;110:267–75.
- Wallerstein A, Gauvin M, Qi SR, Bashour M, Cohen M. Primary topographyguided LASIK: treating manifest refractive astigmatism Versus Topographymeasured anterior corneal astigmatism. J Refract Surg. 2019;35:15–23.
- Kosaki R, Maeda N, Bessho K, Hori Y, Nishida K, Suzaki A, Hirohara Y, Mihashi T, Fujikado T, Tano Y. Magnitude and orientation of Zernike terms in patients with keratoconus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007;48:3062–8.
- Atchison DA, Mathur A, Read SA, Walker MI, Newman AR, Tanos PP, McLennan RT, Tran AH. Peripheral ocular aberrations in mild and moderate keratoconus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51:6850–7.

- Nakagawa T, Maeda N, Kosaki R, Hori Y, Inoue T, Saika M, Mihashi T, Fujikado T, Tano Y. Higher-order aberrations due to the posterior corneal surface in patients with keratoconus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:2660–5.
- Pantanelli S, MacRae S, Jeong TM, Yoon G. Characterizing the wave aberration in eyes with keratoconus or penetrating keratoplasty using a high-dynamic range wavefront sensor. Ophthalmology. 2007;114:2013–21.
- Nilforoushan MR, Speaker M, Marmor M, Abramson J, Tullo W, Morschauser D, Latkany R. Comparative evaluation of refractive Surgery candidates with Placido topography, Orbscan II, Pentacam, and wavefront analysis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2008;34:623–31.
- Barbero S, Marcos S, Merayo-Lloves J, Moreno-Barriuso E. Validation of the estimation of corneal aberrations from videokeratography in keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2002;18:263–70.
- 21. Buhren J, Kuhne C, Kohnen T. Defining subclinical keratoconus using corneal first-surface higher-order aberrations. Am J Ophthalmol. 2007;143:381–9.
- Saad A, Gatinel D. Combining Placido and Corneal Wavefront Data for the detection of Forme Fruste Keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2016;32:510–6.
- 23. Wallerstein A, Gauvin M, Cohen M. The Relationship Between Preoperative Anterior Corneal Higher-Order Aberrations and Topography-Guided Excimer

Ablation Depth. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2020; In Press. Accepted May 14, 2020.

- 24. Bühren J, Schäffeler T, Kohnen T. Preoperative topographic characteristics of eyes that developed postoperative LASIK keratectasia. J Refract Surg. 2013;29:540–9.
- Padmanabhan P, Rachapalle Reddi S, Sivakumar PD. Topographic, Tomographic, and aberrometric characteristics of Post-LASIK Ectasia. Optom Vis Sci. 2016;93:1364–70.
- Delgado S, Velazco J, Delgado Pelayo RM, Ruiz-Quintero N. Correlation of higher order aberrations in the anterior corneal surface and degree of keratoconus measured with a Scheimpflug camera. Arch De La Sociedad Esp De Oftalmologia. 2016;91:316–9.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.