RESEARCH



Characteristics of peripheral refractive errors in eyes of patients with non-amblyopic myopic anisometropia



Gengmin Tong^{1*}, Yuanhui Jin¹, Hongyan Wu¹ and Yao Zhou¹

Abstract

Background This study aims to investigate relative peripheral refractive (RPR) characteristics in children with nonamblyopic myopic anisometropia and explore potential associations between relative peripheral refractive errors (RPRE) and myopia.

Methods Relative peripheral refractive errors were assessed in 64 children diagnosed with non-amblyopic myopic anisometropia utilizing multispectral refraction topography (MRT). Two eyes of each patient were divided into into the more myopia eyes group (ME) and the fellow eyes group (FE). Evaluated parameters encompassed total defocus values (TRDV), defocus values at eccentricities spanning 0 to 15 degrees (RDV-15), 0 to 30 degrees (RDV-30), 0 to 45 degrees (RDV-45), as well as superior (RDV-S), inferior (RDV-I), temporal (RDV-T), and nasal (RDV-N) positions.

Results The study revealed a noteworthy contrast in TRDV values between Group ME (0.52 ± 0.36) and Group FE (0.17 ± 0.41), with a substantial significance (P < 0.0001). While no significant RDV-15 difference emerged between Group ME (0.01 ± 0.05) and Group FE (-0.01 ± 0.07) (P > 0.05), a meaningful RDV-30 difference existed between Group ME (0.11 ± 0.14) and Group FE (-0.03 ± 0.19) (P = -0.0017). A significant discrepancy in RDV-45 was also observed between Group ME (0.39 ± 0.29) and Group FE (0.13 ± 0.34) (P < -0.001). Notably, RDV-1 and RDV-T positions demonstrated marked differences between Group ME and Group FE (P < 0.0001), whereas no significant disparity was noted in RDV-S and RDV-N positions (P > 0.05).

Conclusion Eyes exhibiting greater myopia manifested more hyperopic peripheral defocus in the context of anisometropia. MRT as a novel ophthalmic evaluation technique, holds promising potential for broader clinical applications in the future.

Keywords Multispectral refraction topography, Relative peripheral refractive errors, Anisometropia

*Correspondence: Gengmin Tong fkstrer@163.com ¹Dongyang people's Hospital, Dongyang 322100, China



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate of the original autory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Myopia, among the most prevalent eye diseases globally, is projected to affect 50% of the population by 2050 without effective intervention measures [1]. The prevalence of myopia varies with ethnicity and is particularly high among individuals of East Asian descent [2, 3]. Higher levels of myopia are associated with an increased risk of sight-threatening complications. While the exact pathogenesis of myopia remains unclear, recent research has emphasized the significance of peripheral hyperopic defocus. Studies suggest that relative hyperopic defocus has a significant impact on axial length growth and myopia progression [4, 5].

Multispectral refractive topography (MRT) is an innovative device utilized for assessing relative peripheral refractive errors (RPRE), enabling quantification of retinal hyperopic defocus [6]. This technique offers a comprehensive assessment of ocular refractive status, encompassing myopia and anisometropia. MRT serves as a non-invasive, rapid, and precise tool for diagnosing and monitoring refractive errors [7].

Anisometropia refers to a refractive error distinguished by a substantial difference in the refractive power between the two eyes. Unlike traditional cohort studies comparing myopes with emmetropes, which are frequently affected by confounding variables like age, gender, and environment, anisometropia offers a distinctive experimental framework for investigating myopia progression [8]. This is due to the observation of asymmetric ocular growth in individuals with anisometropia, occurring even under identical genetic and environmental influences.

This study aimed to determine whether interocular asymmetries in RPRE at different retinal regions were evident in human eyes with myopic anisometropia. We measured RPRE at different retinal regions in patients with myopic anisometropia using MRT to explore associations between RPRE and myopia. Our objective is to contribute to the advancement of more effective strategies for myopia prevention and management.

Data and methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All participants were of Chinese ethnicity and had nonamblyopic myopic anisometropia, characterized by a minimum interocular difference of 1.00 diopters (D) in spherical-equivalent (SE) refractive error. Both eyes of each participant had a best corrected visual acuity of 0.00 LogMAR or better. Participants with significant ocular diseases, strabismus, other visual dysfunctions, recent eye medication use within the last six months, systemic illnesses, or those wearing orthokeratology or other contact lenses were excluded from the study.

Methods

This is a retrospective study. Sixty-four subjects underwent measurements using the non-contact optical biometer, automatic refractometer, subjective refraction, and MRT (MSI C2000, THONDAR, China) from May to September 2023 at the outpatient Department of Ophthalmology, Dongyang People's Hospital. The MRT examination was conducted in a darkroom, and all patients had a pupil diameter greater than 5.2 mm. The measured parameters included total defocus values (TRDV), defocus values at eccentricities ranging from 0 to 15 (RDV-15), 30 (RDV-30), and 45 (RDV-45) degrees, as well as defocus values at superior (RDV-S), inferior (RDV-I), temporal (RDV-T), and nasal (RDV-N) regions. The spherical equivalent (SE) was calculated using the formula DS+DC/2, where DS denotes the diopter sphere and DC denotes the diopter cylinder. The SE was used to categorize each patient's two eyes into the more myopia eyes group (ME) and the fellow eyes group (FE).

Statistical analysis

Continuous data were presented as mean with standard deviation along with the range of distribution. Categorical data were expressed by counts, fractions, or percentages. For responsive variables such as RDV were transformed for normal distribution if the data were skewed. Mean RDV values of different retinal regions were compared between the more myopic eyes and the fellow eyes by using paired t-tests. All tests were two-tailed unless otherwise noted. Type I error was set at 5% and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS JMP software (JMP 14 Pro).

Results

The characteristics of the anisometropic subjects are summarized in Table 1. 64 healthy teenagers (mean age 11.89 ± 1.83 years, 33 males and 31 females) were enrolled

Table 1 The characteristics of the anisometropic subjects

	Age(y)	Gender (F/M)	More myopic eye (R/L)	SE (D)	DS(D)	DC(D)	AL (mm)	K1	K2	
ME	11.89±1.83	31/33	46/18	-2.33±0.82	-2.24 ± 0.83	-0.20±0.27	24.85 ± 0.87	42.38 ± 1.34	43.36±1.50	
FE	11.89±1.83	31/33	46/18	-0.37±0.80	-0.25±-0.78	-0.27±0.31	23.96 ± 0.77	42.23 ± 1.36	43.35 ± 1.52	
Ρ	-	-	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0275	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.49	
95%CI	-	-	-				0.7811, 0.10027	0.0748, 0.2322	-0.13859, 0.0757	

SE. Spherical equivalent; DS. Diopter sphere; DC. Diopter cylinder; AL. Axial length; K. Keratometry; ME. More myopia eyes group; FE. Fellow eyes group

Table 2 The RDV values of the two groups

	TRDV	RDV-15	RDV-30	RDV-45	RDV-S	RDV-I	RDV-T	RDV-N
ME	0.52±0.36	0.01±0.05	0.11±0.14	0.39±0.29	0.01±0.60	0.64±0.50	0.66±0.52	0.82±0.79
FE	0.17±0.41	-0.01 ± 0.07	0.03 ± 0.19	0.13 ± 0.34	-0.01 ± 0.80	0.20 ± 0.76	-0.08±0.74	0.71 ± 0.80
95%CI	0.2349,0.4557	-0.0014,0.043	0.03384,0.13929	0.16955,0.3542	-0.0672,0.29599	0.27222,0.61403	0.57313,0.90281	-0.0852,0.30461
Ρ	< 0.0001	0.0657	0.0017	< 0.001	0.2129	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.2651

TRDV. peripheral refractive error from center to peripheral 53° of retina; RDV-15. defocus values at eccentricities ranging from 0 to 15 of retina; RDV-30. defocus values at eccentricities ranging from 0 to 30 of retina; RDV-45. defocus values at eccentricities ranging from 0 to 45 of retina; RDV-5. refraction difference value-superior; RDV-1. refraction difference value-inferior; RDV-T. refraction difference value- refraction difference value- nasal;



Fig. 1 The RDV image data of a 10-year-old child diagnosed with anisometropia.(**A**, **E**). Fundus photograph of ranges to measure peripheral refraction; (**B**, **F**). The refraction of absolute refraction value, each block is expressed in diopters. (**C**, **G**). The relative peripheral refraction defocus value. The innermost circle stands for RDV-10; The second circle stands for RDV-20; The third circle stands for RDV-30; The fourth circle stands for RDV-40. (**D**, **H**). A direct view of the relative fraction status of the retinal by three-dimensional images viewing from nasal, temporal, superior and inferior

in this study. 46 patients exhibited a higher degree of myopia in their right eye. There was a significant difference in the mean values of SE, DS, DC, AL, and K1 between the two groups.

The RDV values of the two groups are shown in Table 2. There was a significant difference in the value of TRDV between Group ME (0.52±0.36) and Group FE (0.17 ± 0.41) , (*P*<0.0001). In the RDV-15 range, there was no significant difference in the RDV-15 values between Group ME (0.01 ± 0.05) and Group FE (-0.01 ± 0.07) , (P>0.05). However, a significant difference was found in the RDV-30 values between Group ME (0.11 ± 0.14) and Group FE (0.03 ± 0.19), (P = 0.0017). In the range of RDV-45, we also can find a significant difference between Group ME (0.39 ± 0.29) and Group FE (0.13 ± 0.34) , (P < 0.001). Significant differences were found between Group ME and Group FE in the RDV-I and RDV-T positions (P < 0.0001). No significant differences were found between Group ME and Group FE in the RDV-S and RDV-N positions (P>0.05). Figure 1 presents the RDV image data of a 10-year-old child diagnosed with anisometropia, illustrating the noticeable variation in RDV between the patient's bilateral eyes.

Discussion

The prevalence of myopia is increasing globally, particularly in East Asia [2]. However, the underlying causes of this trend remain incompletely understood. Some theories propose that peripheral defocus significantly influences eye growth [4, 9]. Animal experiments have demonstrated that artificially induced hyperopic or myopic defocus can modify eye growth and refractive changes [4, 10, 11]. Mutti et al. [12] reported that children with myopia experience more relative hyperopic defocus than those with emmetropia, suggesting that hyperopic defocus may contribute to an elongation of the eye axis length. In patients with anisometropia, both eyes function in the same environment, but exhibit a significant difference in refractive power between them. Significant differences in TRVD were observed in the eyes of patients with anisometropia in this study (P<0.05). Our study revealed that eyes with high myopia exhibit greater relative hyperopic peripheral defocus, low myopia exhibit less relative hyperopic peripheral defocus, while eyes with emmetropia and hyperopia tend to display relative myopic peripheral defocus. These findings are consistent with previous research on the topic [13]. To our knowl-edge, this is the first study to compare interocular differences using MRT in teenagers with anisometropia.

In this study, we investigated peripheral refraction at different retinal eccentricities and observed that Group ME displayed a statistically significant difference compared to Group FE in the RDV 30–45 range (P<0.05). This result aligns with the findings of Lu et al.'s [14] study, which reported an increase in myopia with an increase in RDV 30–45, indicating that peripheral refraction within the 30 to 45-degree range from the fovea may be more closely linked to myopia development.

David et al. [15] discovered that myopia has a greater impact on the peripheral refraction of adult eyes in the horizontal visual field compared to the vertical visual field. Zhao et al. [6] identified a noteworthy distinction between eves with low myopia and those with moderate myopia in terms of relative peripheral refraction at positions RDV-N, RDV-S, and RDV-I. However, no significant variance was observed in the RDV-T position, diverging from our findings. In our investigation, significant disparities were detected between the two groups in RDV-T and RDV-I positions (P<0.05), while no considerable distinctions manifested in other regions. These findings indicate a potential deviation from previous studies. Our interpretation speculates that peripheral refraction within the temporal and inferior regions may exert a pivotal influence on axial elongation. This assumption is based on the broader visual fields in these regions and the tendency of students with anisometropia to adopt tilted head positions while writing. Such postural adjustments could conceivably affect the imaging of the temporal and inferior sectors of the retina. However, further experiments are needed to validate these hypotheses.

The specific mechanism between peripheral hyperopia and axial growth remains controversial. Most viewpoints argue that peripheral hyperopia defocus leads to axial myopia progression, and a small number of views believe that peripheral hyperopic defocus is unrelated to the development of axial [16]. Several scholars have suggested that prolonged axial length may lead to peripheral hyperopia, implying a potential correlation between the two factors. Numerous studies have documented the ocular features of anisometropic amblyopia, indicating that the asymmetry in refractive errors is primarily axial in nature. Additionally, it seems that there is minimal involvement of the anterior segment in this condition [17, 18]. Our experimental findings support this notion, as we observed a positive correlation between eye axial length and the TRDV. Moreover, we observed that longer eye axial length is associated with increased peripheral hyperopia defocus within the RDV range of 30-45. This suggests that peripheral hyperopia defocus in this area may contribute to myopia development. Recently, progressive multifocal soft contact lenses, peripheral defocus glasses, and corneal reshaping lenses have gained popularity in ophthalmology. These methods can all delay the progression of myopia by reducing peripheral hyperopic defocus [19-22]. Li et al. [23] utilized MRT to measure the relative peripheral refraction of myopic children wearing orthokeratology lenses. They found that the RPR of children showed relative myopic defocus after wearing orthokeratology lenses. According to our research findings, it appears crucial to focus on retinal defocus status at the temporal and the 30 to 45 degrees distance from the fovea. We can utilize the results of MRT examinations to guide the treatment strategies for myopia and the personalized customization of lenses.

This study has some limitations that warrant acknowledgment. Firstly, the MRT employed in this study is a novel technique that has not been widely utilized. Therefore, its accuracy and repeatability need further verification through subsequent studies. Secondly, the influence of accommodation on MRT results was not accounted for in this investigation. Thirdly, owing to the crosssectional design of this study, it was not feasible to track further progress over time. Finally, the sample size in this research was limited, and further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to validate the conclusions drawn in this study.

In conclusion, eyes with more myopia exhibit more hyperopic peripheral defocus in patients with anisometropia. As a novel ophthalmic examination technique, MRT may find broader applications in clinical practice in the future. It can enhance the diagnosis and prediction of myopia, as well as aid in selecting optimal treatment strategies.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

GMT contributed to research design, data collection and analysis, generating the figures, data interpretation as well as preparation of the manuscript. YHJ contributed to data analysis and data interpretation. HYW and YZ contributed to the data collection as well as the analysis of data. GMT contributed to the study design, study analysis, writing of the discussion and revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data availability

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Dongyang People's Hospital (Approval Number: Dongrenyi 2024-YX-115). All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 19 August 2023 / Accepted: 17 June 2024 Published online: 21 June 2024

References

- Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, Jong M, Naidoo KS, Sankaridurg P, et al. Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. Ophthalmology. 2016;123(5):1036–42.
- Rudnicka AR, Kapetanakis VV, Wathern AK, Logan NS, Gilmartin B, Whincup PH, et al. Global variations and time trends in the prevalence of childhood myopia, a systematic review and quantitative meta-analysis: implications for aetiology and early prevention. Br J Ophthalmol. 2016;100(7):882–90.
- Li SM, Li SY, Liu LR, Zhou YH, Yang Z, Kang MT, et al. Peripheral refraction in 7and 14-year-old children in central China: the Anyang Childhood Eye Study. Br J Ophthalmol. 2015;99(5):674–9.
- Benavente-Perez A, Nour A, Troilo D. Axial eye growth and refractive error development can be modified by exposing the peripheral retina to relative myopic or hyperopic defocus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014;55(10):6765–73.
- Mutti DO, Hayes JR, Mitchell GL, Jones LA, Moeschberger ML, Cotter SA, et al. Refractive error, axial length, and relative peripheral refractive error before and after the onset of myopia. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007;48(6):2510–9.
- Zhao Q, Du X, Yang Y, Zhou Y, Zhao X, Shan X et al. Quantitative analysis of peripheral retinal defocus checked by multispectral refraction topography in myopia among youth. Chin Med J (Engl). 2023.
- Lu W, Ji R, Ding W, Tian Y, Long K, Guo Z, et al. Agreement and Repeatability of Central and Peripheral refraction by one Novel Multispectral-based refractor. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:777685.
- Jiang S, Chen Z, Bi H, Xia R, Shen T, Zhou L, et al. Elucidation of the more myopic eye in anisometropia: the interplay of laterality, ocular dominance, and anisometropic magnitude. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):9598.

- Sankaridurg P. Contact lenses to slow progression of myopia. Clin Exp Optom. 2017;100(5):432–7.
- Troilo D, Quinn N, Baker K. Accommodation and induced myopia in marmosets. Vis Res. 2007;47(9):1228–44.
- 11. Howlett MH, McFadden SA. Spectacle lens compensation in the pigmented guinea pig. Vis Res. 2009;49(2):219–27.
- 12. Mutti DO, Sholtz RI, Friedman NE, Zadnik K. Peripheral refraction and ocular shape in children. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2000;41(5):1022–30.
- Seidemann A, Schaeffel F, Guirao A, Lopez-Gil N, Artal P. Peripheral refractive errors in myopic, emmetropic, and hyperopic young subjects. J Opt Soc Am Opt Image Sci Vis. 2002;19(12):2363–73.
- Xiaoli L, Xiangyue Z, Lihua L, Yuting H, Chuni L, Yujie X, et al. Comparative study of relative peripheral refraction in children with different degrees of myopia. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022;9:800653.
- 15. Atchison DA, Pritchard N, Schmid KL. Peripheral refraction along the horizontal and vertical visual fields in myopia. Vis Res. 2006;46(8–9):1450–8.
- 16. Schippert R, Schaeffel F. Peripheral defocus does not necessarily affect central refractive development. Vis Res. 2006;46(22):3935–40.
- Burtolo C, Ciurlo C, Polizzi A, Lantier PB, Calabria G. Echobiometric study of ocular growth in patients with amblyopia. J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus. 2002;39(4):209–14.
- Vincent SJ, Collins MJ, Read SA, Carney LG. Myopic anisometropia: ocular characteristics and aetiological considerations. Clin Exp Optom. 2014;97(4):291–307.
- Queiros A, Gonzalez-Meijome JM, Jorge J, Villa-Collar C, Gutierrez AR. Peripheral refraction in myopic patients after orthokeratology. Optom Vis Sci. 2010;87(5):323–9.
- Ni NJ, Ma FY, Wu XM, Liu X, Zhang HY, Yu YF, et al. Novel application of multispectral refraction topography in the observation of myopic control effect by orthokeratology lens in adolescents. World J Clin Cases. 2021;9(30):8985–98.
- 21. Aller TA, Liu M, Wildsoet CF. Myopia control with bifocal contact lenses: a Randomized Clinical Trial. Optom Vis Sci. 2016;93(4):344–52.
- Smith EL. 3rd. Prentice Award lecture 2010: a case for peripheral optical treatment strategies for myopia. Optom Vis Sci. 2011;88(9):1029–44.
- Li T, Chen Z, She M, Zhou X. Relative peripheral refraction in myopic children wearing orthokeratology lenses using a novel multispectral refraction topographer. Clin Exp Optom. 2022:1–6.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.